### 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The City of Riverside (City) was incorporated on October 11, 1883 as a Charter City and operates under a Council-Manager form of Government. The more significant accounting policies reflected in the financial statements are summarized as follows:

# A. Reporting Entity

The financial statements present the City and its component units, entities for which the City is financially accountable. Blended component units are legally separate entities, but in substance are part of the City's operations and their data is combined with that of the City's. The City has no component units that meet the criteria for discrete presentation. All of the City's component units have a June 30 year end.

### **Blended Component Units**

Riverside Housing Authority (Housing Authority) was established in 2006 by the City. The Housing Authority's primary purpose is to provide safe and sanitary housing accommodations for persons with low or moderate income. The Housing Authority's activity has been combined with that of the primary government because City Council members serve as the Housing Authority's commissioners and because the City is financially accountable and operationally responsible for all matters.

Riverside Public Financing Authority (Public Financing Authority) was organized in December 1987 by the City and the Redevelopment Agency. Pursuant to Assembly Bill 1X 26 (as modified by the California Supreme Court on December 29, 2011) all redevelopment agencies were dissolved effective February 1, 2012. Subsequently, the City became the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency. The Parking Authority of the City of Riverside was added as an additional member of the Public Financing Authority on August 14, 2012. The Public Financing Authority's activity has been combined with that of the primary government because City Council members serve as the Public Financing Authority's board members and because the Public Financing Authority exclusively provides financing assistance to the primary government. The City is also financially accountable and operationally responsible for all matters.

Riverside Municipal Improvements Corporation (Municipal Improvements Corporation) was created in 1978 and operates under provisions of the Nonprofit Public Benefit Corporation Law of the State of California. The

Municipal Improvements Corporation's primary purpose is to provide financing assistance by obtaining land, property and equipment on behalf of the City. The activity of the Municipal Improvements Corporation has been combined with that of the primary government because three members of the City Council serve as the Municipal Improvements Corporation's directors and because the Municipal Improvements Corporation exists to serve exclusively the primary government. The City is financially accountable and operationally responsible for all matters.

### **Fiduciary Component Unit**

Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Riverside (Successor Agency) is a separate legal entity, which was formed to hold the assets and liabilities of the former Redevelopment Agency pursuant to City Council actions taken on March 15, 2011 and January 10, 2012. The activity of the Successor Agency was overseen by an Oversight Board comprised of individuals appointed by various government agencies and the City of Riverside as Successor Agency of the former Redevelopment Agency. In 2018, the oversight was transferred to the Riverside Countywide Oversight Board, as a result of state legislation that consolidated all oversight boards to successor agencies. The Countywide Oversight Board was created to oversee the winddown activities of the various successor agencies in Riverside County. The nature and significance of the relationship between the City and the Successor Agency is such that it would be misleading to exclude the Successor Agency from the City's financial statements. The Successor Agency is presented herein in the City's fiduciary funds as a private-purpose trust fund.

Complete financial statements are prepared for the Riverside Public Financing Authority and the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Riverside, which can be obtained from the City's Finance Department, 3900 Main Street, Riverside, California, 92522 or online at <a href="https://www.riversideca.gov">www.riversideca.gov</a>.

#### B. Government-wide and Fund Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements report information on all of the non-fiduciary activities of the City and its component units. Interfund activity has been removed from these statements except for utility charges, as this would distort the presentation of function costs and program revenues. Governmental activities, which normally are supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues, are reported separately from business-type activities, which rely to a significant extent on fees and charges for support.

The statement of net position presents financial information on all of the City's assets, liabilities, and deferred inflows/outflows of resources, with the difference reported as net position. Over time, increases or decreases in net position may serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the City is improving or deteriorating.

The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function or segment are offset by program revenues. Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function or segment. Program revenues include 1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use, or directly benefit from goods, services, or privileges provided by a given function or segment, and 2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment. Taxes and other items not properly included among program revenues are reported instead as general revenues. Indirect expenses are allocated to the various functions based on a proportionate utilization of the services rendered. Such allocations consist of charges for accounting, human resources, information technology and other similar support services.

Separate financial statements are provided for governmental funds, proprietary funds, and fiduciary funds, even though the latter are excluded from the government-wide financial statements. Major individual governmental funds and major individual enterprise funds are reported as separate columns in the fund financial statements.

# C. Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting and Financial Statement Presentation

The government-wide, proprietary and fiduciary funds financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied on the property. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements have been met. An allowance for doubtful accounts is maintained for the utility and other miscellaneous receivables.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be available when they are

collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. Revenues are considered to be available if they are generally collected within 60 days after year end, except for revenue associated with neglected property abatement which is eleven (11) months and except for grant revenue, including reimbursement received from Transportation Uniform Mitigation Fees, which is six (6) months. Grant revenue is recognized if received within six (6) months of year end to enable the matching of revenue with applicable expenditures. Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred under accrual accounting. However, debt service expenditures, as well as expenditures related to compensated absences and claims and judgments, are recorded only when payment is due.

Property taxes, special assessments, sales taxes, franchise taxes, licenses, charges for services, amounts due from other governments and interest associated with the current fiscal period are all considered to be susceptible to accrual. Other revenue items such as fines and permits are considered to be measurable and available only when the government receives cash and are therefore not susceptible to accrual.

The government reports the following major governmental funds:

The General fund is the government's primary operating fund. It accounts for all financial resources of the general government, except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

The Capital Outlay fund accounts for the construction and installation of street and highway capital improvements for the City, including improvements funded by the  $\frac{1}{2}$ % sales tax approved by Riverside County in 1988.

The General Debt Service fund accounts for the accumulation of resources and payment of long-term debt obligations of the City and related entities.

The government reports the following major proprietary funds:

The Electric fund accounts for the activities of the City's electric distribution operations.

The Water fund accounts for the activities of the City's water distribution operations.

The Sewer fund accounts for the activities of the City's sewer systems.

Additionally, the government reports the following fund types:

Special Revenue funds account for proceeds of specific revenue sources that are legally restricted or otherwise committed or assigned for specific purposes.

Internal Service funds account for self-insurance, central stores and central garage on a cost reimbursement basis.

Fiduciary funds include private-purpose trust and custodial funds. The private-purpose trust fund accounts for assets and activities of the dissolved Redevelopment Agency, which is accounted for in the Successor Agency Trust. The custodial funds are used to account for special assessments that service no-commitment debt.

The Permanent fund is a governmental fund that is used to report resources that are legally restricted to the extent that only earnings, and not principal, may be used for purposes that support the City's Library programs. Non-expendable net position on the Statement of Net Position includes \$1.5 million of permanent fund principal which are considered nonexpendable.

Amounts reported as program revenues include 1) charges to customers for goods, services, or privileges provided, 2) operating grants and contributions, and 3) capital grants and contributions, including special assessments. Internally dedicated resources are reported as general revenues rather than as program revenues. Likewise, general revenues include all taxes.

Proprietary funds distinguish operating revenues and expenses from non-operating items. Operating revenues and expenses generally result from providing services and producing and delivering goods in connection with a proprietary fund's principal ongoing operations. The Sewer fund also recognizes, as operating revenue, the portion of connection fees intended to recover the cost of connecting new customers to the system. Operating expenses for enterprise funds and internal service funds include the cost of sales and services, administrative expenses, and depreciation on capital assets. All revenues and expenses not meeting this definition are reported as non-operating revenues and expenses.

#### D. Cash and Investments

The City values its cash and investments in accordance with the provisions of Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 72 (GASB 72),

Fair Value Measurement and Application, which requires governmental entities to use valuation techniques that are appropriate under the circumstances and for which sufficient data are available to measure fair value. The techniques should be consistent with one or more of the following approaches: the market approach, the cost approach or the income approach.

Cash accounts of all funds are pooled for investment purposes to enhance safety and liquidity while maximizing interest earnings. Investments are stated at fair value except for investments in investment contracts which are recorded at contract value. All highly liquid investments (including restricted assets) with a maturity of 90 days or less when purchased are considered cash equivalents. Cash and investments held on behalf of proprietary funds by the City Treasurer are considered highly liquid and are classified as cash equivalents for the purpose of presentation in the statement of cash flows.

#### E. Restricted Cash and Investments

Certain proceeds of long-term indebtedness, as well as certain resources set aside for their repayment, are classified as restricted assets on the statement of net position because their use is limited by applicable bond covenants. Restricted cash and investments also include cash set aside for nuclear decommissioning, public benefit programs, regulatory requirements and rate stabilization because their use is legally restricted to a specific purpose. Unspent proceeds received from the City's landfill capping surcharge are also recorded as restricted assets.

#### F. Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Management determines the allowance for doubtful accounts by analyzing customer accounts for all balances over 60 days old. The allowance for doubtful accounts is then adjusted at fiscal year-end based on the amount equal to the annual uncollectible accounts. Utility customer closed accounts are written off when deemed uncollectible. Recoveries to utility customer receivables previously written off are recorded when received. For non-utility accounts receivables, delinquent notices after 60 days are sent to customers with outstanding balances. After 120 days, accounts still outstanding are referred to the City's collection agency. As of June 30, 2021, the City had an allowance for doubtful account balance of \$10,536.

#### G. Land and Improvements Held for Resale

Land and improvements held for resale were generally acquired for future development projects. The properties are carried at the lower of cost or net realizable value.

### H. Inventory

Supplies are valued at cost using the average-cost method. Costs are charged to user departments when consumed rather than when purchased.

### I. Prepaid Items

Payments to vendors for services benefiting future periods are recorded as prepaid items and expenditures are recognized when items are consumed.

### J. Capital Assets

Capital assets, which include property, plant, equipment, and infrastructure assets (e.g., roads, bridges, sidewalks, right of way, and similar items), are reported in the applicable governmental activities and business-type activities of the government-wide financial statements and in the proprietary funds and the fiduciary private-purpose trust fund statements of net position. The government defines capital assets as assets with an initial, individual cost of more than five thousand dollars and an estimated useful life in excess of one year. Such assets are recorded at historical cost or estimated historical cost if purchased or constructed. Costs include: labor; materials; interest during construction; allocated indirect charges such as engineering, construction and transportation equipment, retirement plan contributions and other fringe benefits. Donated capital assets or donated works of art and similar items are recorded at acquisition cost at the date of donation. Capital assets received in a service concession arrangement are recorded at acquisition value. Intangible assets that cost more than one hundred thousand dollars with useful lives of at least three years are capitalized and are recorded at cost. Interest incurred during the construction phase is expensed in the period incurred.

The costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend asset lives are not capitalized. Capital assets other than land are depreciated using the straight-line method. Estimated useful lives used to compute depreciation are as follows:

Buildings and Improvements 30-50 years Improvements other than Buildings 20-99 years

Intangibles - Depreciable 3-15 years
Machinery and Equipment 3-15 years
Infrastructure 20-100 years

### K. Compensated Absences

City employees receive 10 to 25 vacation days a year based upon length of service. A maximum of two years' vacation accrual may be accumulated, any excess vacation must be used in accordance with policy, and unused vacation is paid in cash upon separation.

City employees generally receive one day of sick leave for each month of employment with unlimited accumulation. Upon retirement or death, certain employees or their estates receive a percentage of unused sick leave paid in a lump sum based on longevity. The General, Electric and Water funds have been primarily used to liquidate such balances.

The liability associated with these benefits is reported in the government-wide statements. Vacation and sick leave of proprietary funds is recorded as an expense and as a liability of those funds as the benefits accrue to employees.

#### L. Derivative Instruments

The City's derivative instruments are accounted for in accordance with Government Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 53 (GASB 53), Accounting and Financial Reporting for Derivative Instruments, which requires the City to report its derivative instruments at fair value. Changes in fair value for effective hedges that are achieved with derivative instruments are reported as deferrals in the statements of net position.

The City uses derivative instruments to hedge its exposure to changing interest rates through the use of interest rate swaps. The City had debt that was layered with "synthetic fixed rate" swaps, which was refunded in 2008 and 2011. The balance of the deferral account for each swap is included as part of the deferred charge on refunding associated with the new bonds. The swaps were also employed as a hedge against the new debt. Hedge accounting was applied to that portion of the hedging relationship, which was determined to be effective. The negative fair value of the interest rate swaps related to the new hedging relationship has been recorded and deferred on the statement of net position. See Note 6 for further discussion related to the City's interest rate swaps.

Various transactions permitted in the Utility's Power Resources Risk Management Policies may be considered derivatives, including energy and/or gas transactions for swaps, options, forward arrangements and congestion revenue rights. The City has determined that all of its contracts including congestion revenue rights fall under the scope of "normal purchases and normal sales" and are exempt from GASB 53.

# M. Long-Term Obligations

#### Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt and other long-term obligations are reported as liabilities in the applicable governmental and business-type activities columns in the government-wide financial statements and in the proprietary funds and fiduciary funds statements of net position. Bond premiums and discounts are deferred and amortized over the life of the bonds using the effective interest method. Bonds payable are reported net of the applicable bond premium or discount.

In the fund financial statements, government fund types recognize bond issuance costs as expenditures during the current period. The face amount of debt issued is reported as other financing sources. Premiums received on debt issuances are reported as other financing sources while discounts on debt issuance are reported as other financing uses.

# **Decommissioning**

Federal regulations require the Electric Utility to provide for the future decommissioning of its ownership share of Units 2 & 3 at San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS). The Electric Utility has established trust accounts to accumulate resources for the decommissioning of the nuclear power plant and restoration of the beachfront at San Onofre. Based on the most current SONGS 2017 Decommissioning Cost Estimate (DCE), the Electric Utility has fully funded its share of SONGS decommissioning costs. The SONGS 2020 DCE is expected to be submitted to the California Public Utilities Commission in 2021 for approval.

As of June 30, 2021, the Electric Utility has set aside \$48,647 in cash investments with the trustee and \$13,838 in a designated decommissioning reserve for the Electric Utility's estimated share of the decommissioning costs. Increases to the funds held for decommissioning liability are from investment earnings. The investment earnings are included in investment income in the Electric Utility's financial statements. An equivalent amount is reflected as

decommissioning expense which is considered part of production and purchased power. Decreases to the funds held for decommissioning liability are from actual funds drawn from the trust for decommissioning costs invoiced by SCE.

On February 23, 2016, the City Council adopted a resolution authorizing the commencement of SONGS decommissioning effective June 7, 2013. This resolution allows the Electric Utility to access the decommissioning trust funds to pay for its share of decommissioning costs. The Electric Utility began drawing decommissioning trust funds to pay for decommissioning costs in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017. As of June 30, 2021, the Electric Utility has paid to date \$36,398 in decommissioning obligations, which have been reimbursed by the trust funds.

#### N. Claims and Judgments Payable

Claims and judgments payable are recognized when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. Such claims, including an estimate for claims incurred but not reported at year end, are recorded as liabilities in the self-insurance internal service fund. As of June 30, 2021, the City had an obligation related to claims and judgments which is reflected as a liability on the government-wide statements and is more fully described in Note 10.

### O. Fund Equity

In the fund financial statements, governmental fund balance is made up of the following components:

- Nonspendable fund balance is the portion of fund balance that cannot be spent due to form. Examples include inventories, prepaid amounts, long-term loans, and notes receivable, unless the proceeds are restricted, committed or assigned. Also, amounts that must be maintained intact legally or contractually, such as the principal of a permanent fund are reported within the nonspendable category.
- Restricted fund balance is the portion of fund balance that is subject to externally enforceable limitations by law, enabling legislation or limitations imposed by creditors or grantors.
- Committed fund balance is the portion of fund balance that can only be used for specific purposes due to formal action of the City Council through adoption of a resolution prior to the end of the fiscal year. Once adopted, the limitation imposed by resolution remains in place until

a similar action is taken (the adoption of another resolution) to remove or revise the limitation. The City Council approved the General Fund Reserve Policy setting a 10% minimum in the Emergency Reserve and 5% in the Contingency Reserve with an aspirational goal of 15% in the Emergency Reserve. The Emergency Reserve was established for the purpose of addressing any extremely unusual and infrequent occurrences, such as a major natural disaster or a major unforeseen settlement. Utilization of the Emergency Reserve requires declaration of an emergency by a two-thirds majority of the City Council, and specification of the maximum dollar amount to be used. The Contingency Reserve was established for the purpose of providing a "bridge" to facilitate a measured and thoughtful reduction in expenditures during times of economic downturn, rather than making immediate and drastic budget reductions without the time for proper evaluation. Utilization of the Contingency Reserve, including specification of the maximum dollar amount to be used, requires approval by a two-thirds majority of the City Council. The reserves committed at June 30, 2021 were calculated utilizing fiscal year 2021-2022 adopted General Fund expenditure budget of \$287,000.

On April 2, 2019, the City Council approved the General Fund - Measure Z Contingency Reserve Policy setting a required \$5,000 in the Contingency Reserve. The Contingency Reserve was established to cover necessary expenses in order to provide time for a measured and thoughtful reduction in expenditures during times of economic downturn, rather than making immediate and drastic budget reductions without the time for proper evaluation. Utilization of the Contingency Reserve, including specification of the maximum dollar amount to be used, requires the affirmative votes of at least five members of the City Council.

• Assigned fund balance reflects the City's intended use of resources. Intent can be expressed by the City Council or by an official to which the City Council delegates the authority. On February 22, 2011, the City Council approved a policy whereby the authority to assign fund balance was delegated to the City's Chief Financial Officer, which authorized the assignment of fund balance for specific programs or purposes in accordance with City Council directives. The City also uses budget and finance policy to authorize the assignment of fund balance, which is done through the adoption of the budget and subsequent budget amendments throughout the year.

 Unassigned fund balance is the residual classification that includes all spendable amounts in the General Fund not contained in other classifications.

When expenditures are incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted (committed, assigned or unassigned) fund balances are available, the City's policy is to use restricted amounts before unrestricted amounts. Within unrestricted resources, committed resources are used first followed by assigned resources, and finally unassigned resources.

#### P. Net Position

Net position represents the difference between assets and deferred outflows less liabilities and deferred inflows. Net position invested in capital assets consists of capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation, reduced by the outstanding balances of any borrowings used for the related acquisition, construction or improvement of those assets excluding unspent debt proceeds. Restricted net position represents restricted assets less liabilities and deferred inflows related to those assets. Restricted assets are recorded when there are limitations imposed on their use either through legislation adopted by the City or through external restrictions imposed by creditors, grantors or laws or regulations of other governments. Restricted resources are used first to fund appropriations.

#### Q. Interfund Transactions

Interfund transactions are accounted for as revenues and expenditures or expenses. Transactions, which constitute reimbursements, are eliminated in the reimbursed fund and accounted for as expenditures or expenses in the fund to which the transaction is applicable.

During the year, transactions occur between individual funds for goods provided or services rendered. Related receivables and payables are classified as "due from/to other funds" on the accompanying fund level statements. The noncurrent portion of long-term interfund loans receivable are reported as advances and, for governmental fund types, are equally offset by nonspendable fund balance to indicate that the receivable is not in spendable form.

Any residual balances outstanding between the governmental activities and business-type activities are reported in the government-wide financial statements as "internal balances."

#### R. Unearned Revenue

Unearned revenues arise when the government receives resources before it has a legal claim to them, as when grant monies are received prior to meeting all eligibility requirements. In subsequent periods, when both revenue recognition criteria are met, or when the government has a legal claim to the resources, revenue is recognized.

#### S. Unavailable Revenue

Unavailable revenue arises only under a modified accrual basis of accounting. Accordingly, unavailable revenue is reported only in the governmental funds balance sheet. These amounts are deferred and recognized as an inflow of resources (revenue) in the period that the amounts become available.

#### T. Deferred Outflows and Deferred Inflows of Resources

When applicable, the statement of net position and the balance sheet will report a separate section for deferred outflows of resources. Deferred outflows of resources represent outflows of resources (consumption of net position) that apply to future periods and that, therefore will not be recognized as an expense or expenditure until that time.

Conversely, deferred inflows of resources represent inflows of resources (acquisition of net position) that apply to future periods and that, therefore, are *not* recognized as an inflow of resources (revenue) until that time.

# U. Regulatory Assets and Deferred Regulatory Charges

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 62, enterprise funds that are used to account for rate-regulated activities are permitted to defer certain expenses and revenues that would otherwise be recognized when incurred, provided that the City is recovering or expects to recover or refund such amounts in rates charged to its customers. Accordingly, regulatory assets and/or deferred regulatory charges have been recorded in the Electric, Water, Sewer and Refuse funds.

# V. Property Tax Calendar

Under California law, general property taxes are assessed for up to 1% of the property's assessed value. General property taxes are collected by the counties along with other special district taxes and assessments and voter approved debt. General property tax revenues are collected and pooled by the county throughout the fiscal year and then allocated and paid to the county,

cities and school districts based on complex formulas prescribed by State statutes.

Property taxes are calculated on assessed values as of January 1 for the ensuing fiscal year. On January 1 of the fiscal year the levy is placed and a lien is attached to the property. Property taxes are due in two installments. The first installment is due November 1 and is delinquent after December 10. The second installment is due February 1 and is delinquent after April 10. The City generally accrues only those taxes, which are received within sixty days after the year-end. Under the Teeter plan, the County of Riverside has responsibility for the collection of delinquent taxes and the City receives 100% of the levy.

#### W. Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenue and expenditures. Specifically, the City has made certain estimates and assumptions relating to the revenues due and expenditures incurred through fiscal year end, collectability of its receivables, the valuation of property held for resale, the useful lives of capital assets, and the ultimate outcome of claims and judgments. Actual results may differ from those estimates and assumptions.

#### X. Pensions

For purposes of measuring the net pension liability and deferred outflows/inflows of resources related to pensions, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the City of Riverside California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS) plans (Plans) and additions to/deductions from the Plans' fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by CalPERS. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

### Y. Other Post-Employment Benefit (OPEB)

OPEB refers to the benefits, other than pensions, that the City provides as part of an employee's retirement benefits. The net OPEB liability is defined as the

liability of employers contributing to employees for benefits provided through a defined benefit OPEB plan that is administered through a trust.

### Z. New Accounting Pronouncements

Effective July 1, 2020, the accompanying financial statements reflect the implementation of Government Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 84 (GASB 84) and Government Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 90.

GASB 84 establishes criteria for identifying fiduciary activities of all state and local governments. The focus of the criteria generally is on (1) whether a government is controlling the assets of the fiduciary activity and (2) the beneficiaries with whom a fiduciary relationship exists. Separate criteria are included to identify fiduciary component units and postemployment benefit arrangements that are fiduciary activities. Governments with activities meeting the criteria present a statement of fiduciary net position and a statement of changes in fiduciary net position. An exception to that requirement is provided for a business-type activity that normally expects to hold custodial assets for three months or less. This Statement describes four fiduciary funds that should be reported, if applicable: (1) pension (and other employee benefit) trust funds, (2) investment trust funds, (3) private-purpose trust funds, and (4) custodial funds. Custodial funds generally should report fiduciary activities that are not held in a trust or equivalent arrangement that meets specific criteria. This Statement also provides for recognition of a liability to the beneficiaries in a fiduciary fund when an event has occurred that compels the government to disburse fiduciary resources. Events that compel a government to disburse fiduciary resources occur when a demand for the resources has been made or when no further action, approval, or condition is required to be taken or met by the beneficiary to release the assets. For fiscal year 2020/21, the City reported custodial fund and private purpose trust fund.

GASB 90 objectives are to improve the consistency and comparability of reporting a government's majority equity interest in a legally separate organization and to improve the relevance of financial statement information for certain component units. It defines a majority equity interest and specifies that a majority equity interest in a legally separate organization should be reported as an investment if a government's holding of the equity interest meets the definition of an investment. A majority equity interest that meets the definition of an investment should be measured using the equity method, unless it is held by a special-purpose government engaged only in fiduciary activities, a fiduciary fund, or an endowment (including permanent and term endowments) or permanent fund. Those governments and funds should

measure the majority equity interest at fair value. For all other holdings of a majority equity interest in a legally separate organization, a government should report the legally separate organization as a component unit, and the government or fund that holds the equity interest should report an asset related to the majority equity interest using the equity method. This Statement establishes that ownership of a majority equity interest in a legally separate organization results in the government being financially accountable for the legally separate organization and, therefore, the government should report that organization as a component unit. The implementation of this statement had no impact on the City's financial statements for fiscal year 2020/21.

The City early adopted GASB Statement No. 98, the *Annual Comprehensive Financial Report*, which establishes the term *annual comprehensive financial report* and its acronym *ACFR*. The new term and acronym replace instances of *comprehensive annual financial report* and its acronym in generally accepted accounting principles for state and local governments. This statement was developed in response to concerns raised by stakeholders that the common pronunciation of the acronym for comprehensive annual financial report sounds like a profoundly objectionable racial slur. This Statement's introduction of the new term is founded on a commitment to promoting inclusiveness.

# 2. Legal Compliance - Budgets

Budgets are adopted on a basis consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Annual appropriated budgets are adopted for all departments within the general, special revenue and capital project funds. Formal budgets are not employed for debt service funds because debt indenture provisions specify payments. The permanent fund is not budgeted.

Biannually, during the period December through February, department heads prepare estimates of required appropriations for the following two-year budget cycle. These estimates are compiled into a proposed operating budget that includes a summary of proposed expenditures and financial resources and historical data for the preceding budget cycle. At least thirty-five days prior to the beginning of the fiscal year, the City Manager provides the proposed budget, in writing, to the City Council for review. Following Council review, a public hearing is set to obtain citizen comments. The City Council generally conducts the public hearing and adopts the budget during one of its June meetings. The City Manager is legally authorized to transfer budgeted amounts between divisions and accounts within the same department. Transfer of appropriations between departments or funds and increased

appropriations must be authorized by the City Council. Expenditures may not legally exceed budgeted appropriations within a fund. All appropriations shall lapse at the end of the fiscal year to the extent they have not been expended or lawfully encumbered, except for appropriations for capital projects which shall continue to their completion.

In 2020, community outreach on the biennial budget was interrupted by the pandemic which presented significant challenges to staffing levels, the ability to accommodate public meetings, and the unknown impact of the pandemic on City finances. As a result of these challenges, the City temporarily shifted to a one-year budget and instituted an emergency budget process compliant with the City Charter. The City Council adopted an emergency budget for FY 2020/21 following a public hearing on June 16, 2020.

#### 3. Cash and Investments

Cash and investments at fiscal year-end consist of the following:

Investments	\$ 825,710
Investments at fiscal agent	119,495
	945,205
Cash on hand and deposits with financial institutions	62,987
	\$ 1,008,192

The amounts are reflected in the statements of net position of the government-wide and fiduciary fund financial statements:

Cash and investments	\$ 788,305
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	72,100
Restricted cash and investments with fiscal agent	107,789
Total per statement of net position	968,194
Fiduciary fund cash and investments	27,424
Fiduciary fund cash and investments with fiscal agent	12,574
	\$ 1,008,192

The City follows the practice of pooling cash and investments of all funds except for funds required to be held by outside fiscal agents under the provisions of bond indentures, which are administered by outside agencies.

Interest income earned on pooled cash and investments is allocated monthly to funds based on the beginning and month-end balances. Interest income from cash and investments held at fiscal agents is credited directly to the related account. Bank deposits are covered by federal depository insurance

for the first \$250 or by collateral held in the pledging bank's trust department in the name of the City.

### **Authorized Investments**

Under provisions of the City's investment policy, and in accordance with California Government Code Section 53601, the City Treasurer may invest or deposit in the following types of investments:

3 71	Max <u>Maturity</u>	Max % of Portfolio
Local Agency Investment Fund (State Pool)	N/A	100%
Money Market Funds	N/A	20%
Mutual Funds	N/A	20%
Joint Powers Authority Pools	N/A	100%
Medium-Term Corporate Notes	5 Years	30%
Municipal Bonds	5 Years	30%
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit	5 Years	30%
Mortgage Pass-Through and		
Asset-Backed Securities	5 Years	20%
Certificates of Deposit Placement Services	5 Years	30%
Collateralized Time Deposits	5 Years	30%
Federally Insured Time Deposits	5 Years	30%
Supranational Securities	5 Years	30%
Federal Agency Securities	5 Years	N/A
U.S. Treasury Notes/Bonds	5 Years	N/A
Repurchase Agreements	1 Year	N/A
Commercial Paper of "prime" quality	270 Days	25%
Bankers' Acceptances	180 Days	10%
Reverse Repurchase Agreements	92 Days	20%

Investments in Corporate Medium-Term Notes may be invested in securities rated "A" or better by at least one nationally recognized statistical rating agencies. No more than 5% of the market value of the portfolio may be invested in any single issuer.

Investments in Negotiable Certificates of Deposit exceeding federal deposit insurance limits shall be issued by institutions which have long-term debt obligations rated "A" (or the equivalent) or better and short-term debt obligations, if any, rated "A1" (or the equivalent) or better by at least one nationally recognized statistical rating agencies. No more than 5% of one

market value of the portfolio may be invested in any single issuer of negotiable or non-negotiable certificates of deposit.

Investments in Commercial Paper may be invested in securities rated "A1" (or the equivalent) or higher by at least one nationally recognized statistical rating agency. In addition, debt other than Commercial Paper, if any, issued by corporations in this category must be rated at least "A" (or the equivalent) or better by at least one nationally recognized statistical rating agency. No more than 5% of the market value of the portfolio may be invested in any single issuer. For purposes of this issuer limitation, holdings of Commercial Paper shall be combined with holdings of Medium-Term Corporate Notes. No more than 25% of the total market value of the portfolio may be invested in Commercial Paper. No more than 10% of the outstanding Commercial Paper of any single issuer may be purchased.

The City's investment policy provides the following three exceptions to the above: (1) investments authorized by debt agreements, (2) investments in the City of Riverside - 115 Trust for Pension and (3) funds reserved in the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station Decommissioning Account for which the five-year maturity limitation may be extended to the term of the operating license.

### Investments Authorized by Debt Agreements

Provisions of debt agreements, rather than the general provisions of the California Government Code or the City's investment policy, govern investments of debt proceeds held by bond fiscal agents. Permitted investments are specified in related trust agreements and include the following:

- Securities of the U.S. Government and its sponsored agencies
- Bankers' Acceptances rated in the single highest classification
- Commercial Paper rated AA or higher at the time of purchase
- Investments in money market funds rated in the single highest classification, except for certain debt proceeds which have no minimum rating requirement
- Municipal obligations rated Aaa/AAA or general obligations of states with ratings of at least A2/A or higher by both Moody's and S&P
- Investment Agreements

No maximum percentage of the related debt issue or maximum investment in one issuer is specified.

#### Investments in the City of Riverside - 115 Trust for Pension

The City has established the City of Riverside - 115 Trust for Pension (the Plan) to accumulate resources for future contributions to CalPERS. As of June 30, 2021, the City had \$10,697 of restricted cash and investments reported in the General Fund in a Section 115 Trust restricted for future pension contributions. The City has retained US Bank as the trustee. US Bank has delegated investment authority to HighMark Capital Management, an SEC-registered investment adviser, with the full investment discretion over the managed assets in the account. The goal of the Plan's investment program is to provide a reasonable level of growth which will result in sufficient assets to pay the present and future obligations of the Plan.

- Investment Time Horizon: Intermediate-Term 5 7 years
- Anticipated Cash Flows: Assets in the Plan will seek to mitigate the impact of future rate increases from CalPERS.
- Investment Objective: Moderately Conservative
- Risk Tolerance: Moderately Conservative
- Portfolio Type: Index Plus (passive)
- Strategic Asset Allocation:

	Strategic Asset	
	Allocation Ranges	Policy
Cash	0% - 20%	5%
Fixed Income	50% - 80%	65%
Equity	20% - 40%	30%

- Investment Limitations: The following investment transactions are prohibited:
  - Direct investments in precious metals (precious metals mutual funds and exchange-traded funds are permissible).
  - Venture Capital
  - Short sales\*
  - Purchases of Letter Stock, Private Placements, or direct payments
  - Leveraged Transactions\*
  - Commodities Transactions Puts, calls, straddles, or other option strategies\*
  - o Purchases of real estate, with the exception of REITs
  - Derivatives, with exception of Exchange Traded Funds (ETFs)\*
     \*Permissible in diversified mutual funds and exchange-traded funds

# <u>Disclosures Relating to Fair Value Measurement and Application</u>

Certain assets and liabilities are required to be reported at fair value. The fair value framework provides a hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The three levels of air value hierarchy are described as follows:

Level 1 – Inputs to the valuation methodology are unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.

Level 2 – Inputs other than quoted prices included within level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly and fair value is determined through the use of models or other valuation methodologies including:

- Quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets;
- Quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are inactive:
- Inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability;
- Inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means.

Level 3 – Inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement. These unobservable inputs reflect the City's own assumptions about the inputs market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability (including assumptions about risk). These unobservable inputs are developed based on the best information available in the circumstances and may include the City's own data.

The fair value of the City's investments in categorized within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy using the institutional bond quotes with evaluations based on various market and industry inputs.

The City has the following recurring fair value measurements as of June 30, 2021:

_	Total	Activ	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)		ignificant Other oservable Inputs Level 2)	Unobs Inp	ificant ervable outs rel 3)
Joint Pow ers Authority Pool	\$ 136,400	\$	136,400	\$	-	\$	-
Mortgage Pass-Through Securities	33,527		-		33,527		-
Asset-Backed Securities	25,311		-		25,311		-
U.S. Treasury Obligations	181,064		-		181,064		-
Federal Agency Obligations	134,493		-		134,493		-
Medium-Term Corporate Notes	118,940		-		118,940		-
Supranational Securities	28,579		-		28,579		-
Negotiable Certificates of Deposits Held by Fiscal Agent:	9,463		-		9,463		-
Asset-Backed Securities	1,174		-		1,174		-
Commercial Paper	1,000		-		1,000		-
U.S. Treasury Obligations	28,616		-		28,616		-
Federal Agency Obligations	2,666		-		2,666		-
Medium-Term Corporate Notes	10,121		-		10,121		-
Supranational Securities	2,032		-		2,032		-
Total =	\$ 713,386	\$	136,400	\$	576,986	\$	-
Investments not subject to fair value hierarchy: Local Agency Investment Fund Mutual Funds	151,237 10,567						
Money Market Funds	59,254						

# Disclosures Relating to Interest Rate Risk

Investment Contracts

Total Investments

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates. The City's investment policy requires that the interest rate risk exposure be managed by purchasing a combination of shorter term and longer term investments and by timing cash flows from maturities so that a portion of the portfolio is maturing or coming close to maturity evenly over time as necessary to provide the cash flow and liquidity needed for operations.

10,761

945,205

Information about the sensitivity of the fair values of the City's investments (including investments held by fiscal agent) to market interest rate fluctuations is provided by the following table that shows the distribution of the City's investments by maturity:

		Remaining Maturity (in Months)							
		12	2 months or 13 to 36		37 to 60		Mo	re than	
	Total		Less		Months	Months		60 Months	
Money Market Funds	\$ 7,933	\$	7,933	\$	-	\$	_	\$	-
Joint Powers Authority Pool	136,400		136,400		-		-		-
Local Agency Investment Fund	150,000		150,000		-		-		-
Mortgage Pass-Through Securities	33,527		11,138		20,602		1,787		-
Asset-Backed Securities	25,311		-		7,173		18,138		
US Treasury Obligations	181,064		27,615		115,765		37,684		-
Federal Agency Obligations	134,493		15,335		49,309		69,849		-
Medium-Term Corporate Notes	118,940		18,355		22,541		78,044		-
Supranational Securities	28,579		-		-		28,579		-
Neg Certificate of Deposit	9,463		9,463		-		-		-
Held by Fiscal Agent									
Money Market Funds	51,321		51,321		-		-		-
Mutual Funds	10,567		10,567		-		-		-
Local Agency Investment Fund	1,237		1,237		-		-		-
Asset-Backed Securities	1,174		-		-		1,174		
Investment Contracts	10,761		-		-		-		10,761
Commercial Paper	1,000		1,000		-		-		-
US Treasury Obligations	28,616		12,145		9,334		7,137		-
Federal Agency Obligations	2,666		-		2,666		-		-
Medium-Term Corporate Notes	10,121		-		1,366		8,755		-
Supranational Securities	2,032		-		-		2,032		-
Total	\$ 945,205	\$	452,509	\$	228,756	\$	253,179	\$	10,761

The City assumes that callable investments will not be called.

# **Disclosures Relating to Credit Risk**

Generally, credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. Presented below is the actual rating as of year-end for each investment type:

		Rating as of Year End *						
	Total	AAA	AA	Α	Unrated			
Money Market Funds	\$ 7,933	\$ 2,766	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,167			
Joint Powers Authority Pool	136,400	136,400	-	-	-			
Local Agency Investment Fund	150,000	-	-	-	150,000			
Mortgage Pass-Through Securities	33,527	33,527	-	-	-			
Asset-Backed Securities	25,311	20,576	-	-	4,735			
US Treasury Obligations	181,064	181,064	-	-	-			
Federal Agency Obligations	134,493	129,123	-	-	5,370			
Medium-Term Corporate Notes	118,940	-	35,575	66,059	17,306			
Supranational Securities	28,579	19,269	-	-	9,310			
Neg Certificate of Deposit	9,463	-	-	-	9,463			
Held by Fiscal Agent								
Money Market Funds	51,321	10,956	-	-	40,365			
Mutual Funds	10,567	-	-	-	10,567			
Local Agency Investment Fund	1,237	-	-	-	1,237			
Asset-Backed Securities	1,174	1,174	-	-	-			
Investment Contracts	10,761	-	-	-	10,761			
Commercial Paper	1,000	-	-	-	1,000			
US Treasury Obligations	28,616	28,616	-	-	-			
Federal Agency Obligations	2,666	2,666	-	-	-			
Medium-Term Corporate Notes	10,121	-	2,879	6,547	695			
Supranational Securities	2,032	2,032						
Total	\$ 945,205	\$ 568,169	\$ 38,454	\$ 72,606	\$ 265,976			

<sup>\*</sup>Fitch rating used, with - and + removed for simplicity.

#### Concentration on Credit Risk

The investment policy of the City contains no limitations on the amount that can be invested in any one issuer beyond that stated above. For fiscal year ended June 30, 2021, the City did not have any investments in any one issuer (other than U.S. Treasury securities, money market funds, and external investment pools) that represent 5% or more of total City investments.

#### Custodial Credit Risk

Custodial credit risk for deposits is the risk that, in the event of the failure of a depository financial institution, a government will not be able to recover its deposits or will not be able to recover collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The custodial credit risk for *investments* is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty (e.g., broker-dealer) to a transaction, a government will not be able to recover the value of its investment or collateral securities that are in the possession of another party. The City's investment policy requires that a third-party bank trust department hold all securities owned by the City. All trades are settled on a delivery vs.

payment basis through the City's safekeeping agent. The City has no deposits with financial institutions; bank balances are swept daily into a money market account.

The pledge to secure deposits is administered by the California Commissioner of Business Oversight. Collateral is required for demand deposits at 110% of all deposits not covered by federal depository insurance (FDIC) if obligations of the United States and its agencies, or obligations of the State or its municipalities, school districts, and district corporations are pledged. Collateral of 150% is required if a deposit is secured by first mortgages or first trust deeds upon improved residential real property located in California. All such collateral is considered to be held by the pledging financial institutions' trust departments or agents in the name of the City. Obligations pledged to secure deposits must be delivered to an institution other than the institution in which the deposit is made; however, the trust department of the same institution may hold them.

Written custodial agreements are required to provide, among other things, that the collateral securities are held separate from the assets of the custodial institution.

#### Investment in State Investment Pool

The City is a voluntary participant in the Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) that is regulated by California Government Code Section 16429 under the oversight of the Treasurer of the State of California. The fair value of the City's investment in this pool is reported in the accompanying financial statements at amounts based upon the City's pro-rata share of the fair value provided by LAIF for the entire LAIF portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by LAIF, which are recorded on an amortized cost basis.

#### 4. Direct Financing Lease Receivable

The former Redevelopment Agency had a direct financing lease arrangement with the State of California (the State) for a twelve-story office building, which was transferred to the Successor Agency. The lease term is for thirty years and the State takes ownership of the facility at the conclusion of that term. The lease calls for semi-annual payments not less than the debt service owed on the related lease revenue bonds issued by the former Redevelopment Agency for the purchase and renovation of the building.

The future minimum lease payments to be received are as follows:

Fiscal Year	
2022	\$ 2,724
2023	2,759
2024	2,786
2025	 2,823
Total Due	11,092
Less: Amount applicable to interest	 (1,382)
Total direct financing lease receivable	\$ 9,710

#### 5. Capital Assets

The following is a summary of changes in the capital assets during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2021.

Governmental activities:	Beginning Balance	Additons/ Transfers In																						Deletions/ Transfers Out		Reclassifications		Ending Balance	
Capital assets, not depreciated:																													
Land	\$ 338,504	\$ 38,112	*	\$	-	\$	-	\$	376,616																				
Construction in progress	68,398	41,057			(612)		(78,023)		30,820																				
Total capital assets not depreciated	406,902	79,169			(612)		(78,023)	_	407,436																				
Capital assets being depreciated:																													
Buildings	146,607	15			-		43,610		190,232																				
Improvements other than buildings	262,688	45			-		1,016		263,749																				
Machinery and equipment	115,029	3,924			(4,591)		1,720		116,082																				
Intangibles, depreciable	219	-			-		-		219																				
Infrastructure	1,076,049	53,828	**		-		31,677		1,161,554																				
Total capital assets being depreciated	1,600,592	57,812			(4,591)		78,023		1,731,836																				
Less accumulated depreciation for:																													
Buildings	(74,554)	(4,077)			-		-		(78,631)																				
Improvements other than buildings	(144,995)	(11,438)			-		-		(156,433)																				
Machinery and equipment	(80,204)	(7,355)			4,590		-		(82,969)																				
Intangibles, depreciable	(216)	(3)			-		-		(219)																				
Infrastructure	(457,487)	(26,433)			-		-		(483,920)																				
Total accumulated depreciation	(757,456)	(49,306)			4,590		-		(802,172)																				
Total capital assets being																													
depreciated, net	843,136	8,506			(1)		78,023		929,664																				
Governmental activities					,		<u> </u>																						
capital assets, net	\$ 1,250,038	\$ 87,675		\$	(613)	\$		\$	1,337,100																				

<sup>\* 37,951</sup> related to prior period adjustment

<sup>\*\* 42,333</sup> related to prior period adjustment

Business-type activities:	Beginning Balance	•		Deletions/ Transfers Out		Reclassifications		Ending Balance	
Capital assets, not depreciated:  Land	\$ 99.985	5 \$	124	\$		\$	2	\$	100,111
Intangibles, non-depreciable	\$ 99,960 21.574		52 52	Ф	-	Þ	2	Ф	21.626
Construction in progress	104.990		74,058		-		(52,861)		126,187
Total capital assets not depreciated	226,549		74,234				(52,859)		247,924
Capital assets being depreciated:									
Buildings	666,650	)	29		-		5,110		671,789
Improvements other than buildings	1,923,535	5	1,595		(969)		42,235		1,966,396
Machinery and equipment	104,334	ļ.	633		(2,382)		5,514		108,099
Intangibles, depreciable	26,277		-				-		26,277
Total capital assets being depreciated	2,720,796	<u> </u>	2,257		(3,351)		52,859		2,772,561
Less accumulated depreciation for:									
Buildings	(183,953	3)	(14,360)		-		-		(198,313)
Improvements other than buildings	(705,521	)	(48,740)		955		-		(753,306)
Machinery and equipment	(72,243	3)	(5,515)		2,371		-		(75,387)
Intangibles, depreciable	(13,940	))	(3,175)						(17,115)
Total accumulated depreciation	(975,657	<u>')</u>	(71,790)		3,326		-	(	1,044,121)
Total capital assets being									
depreciated, net	1,745,139	<u> </u>	(69,533)		(25)		52,859		1,728,440
Business-type activities									
capital assets, net	\$ 1,971,688	\$\$_	4,701	\$	(25)	\$	-	\$	1,976,364

Depreciation expense was charged to various functions as follows:

Governmental activities:	Φ	2 600
General government	\$	3,698
Public safety		6,955
Highway and streets, including general infrastructure		27,108
Culture and recreation		10,713
Internal service funds		832
Total depreciation expense - governmental activities	\$	49,306
Business-type activities:		
Electric	\$	35,654
Water		16,346
Sewer		14,480
Airport		712
Refuse		859
Transportation		464
Public Parking		796
Civic Entertainment		2,479
Total depreciation expense - business-type activities	\$	71,790

#### 6. Derivative Instruments

# Interest Rate Swaps

The City has six cash flow hedging derivative instruments, which are pay-fixed swaps. These swaps were employed as a hedge against debt that was refunded in 2008 and 2011 and against debt issued in 2012. The balance of the deferral account for each swap is included as part of the deferred charge on refunding associated with the new bonds. The swaps were also employed as a hedge against the new debt. Hedge accounting was applied to that portion of the hedging relationship, which was determined to be effective. Hedge accounting was also applied to the swap associated with the debt issued in 2012, which was also determined to be effective.

The following is a summary of the derivative activity for the year ended June 30, 2021:

	 lotional mount	air Value as of 06/30/21	Fa fo	Change in Fair Value for Fiscal Year		
Governmental activities		<u> </u>				
2008 Renaissance Certificates of Participation*	\$ 62,787	\$ (13,118)	\$	4,772		
Business-type activities						
2008 Renaissance Certificates of Participation*	27,213	(5,686)		2,068		
2008 Electric Refunding/Revenue Bonds Series A	32,450	(4,731)		1,767		
2008 Electric Refunding/Revenue Bonds Series C	32,150	(7,520)		2,767		
2011 Electric Refunding/Revenue Bonds Series A	37,450	(7,717)		2,949		
2011 Water Refunding/Revenue Bonds Series A	24,050	(5,683)		2,091		
2012 Convention Center Financing	29,707	(1,890)		1,349		

<sup>\*</sup> The 2008 Riverside Renaissance Certificates of Participation were distributed between Governmental Activities and Business-Type Activities to properly reflect their proportional share.

Objective: In order to lower borrowing costs as compared to fixed-rate bonds, the City entered into interest rate swap agreements in connection with its \$141,840 2008 Electric Revenue Bonds (Series A and C), \$56,450 2011A Electric Revenue Bonds, \$59,000 2011A Water Revenue Bonds and \$128,300 2008 Certificates of Participation ("COP"). Also, in 2012, the City entered into an additional interest rate swap agreement in connection with the \$41,650 Convention Center financing with BBVA Compass Bank.

Terms: Per the existing swap agreements, the City pays a counterparty a fixed payment and receives a variable payment computed as 62.68% of the London Interbank Offering Rate ("LIBOR") one month index plus 12 basis points for

the Electric and Water swaps. For the COP swap, the City pays a fixed payment and receives a variable payment computed as 63.00% of the LIBOR one-month index plus 7 basis points. The Convention Center financing consists of an initial 21-month variable rate interest only period during construction, which swaps to a fixed rate for the remaining 20-year amortization whereby the City will pay a fixed payment and will receive a variable payment computed at 65.01% of the LIBOR one month index plus 150 basis points. The notional value of the swaps and the principal amounts of the associated debt decline at a smaller rate until the debt is completely retired in fiscal year 2037.

The bonds and the related swap agreements for the 2008A Electric Revenue Bonds mature on October 1, 2029, 2008C Electric and 2011A Electric and 2011A Water Revenue/Refunding Bonds mature on October 1, 2035. The 2008 Certificates of Participation mature on March 1, 2037. The loan with BBVA Compass Bank will be paid in full on April 1, 2034. As of June 30, 2021, rates were as follows:

2000 Floatrio

	2008 Electric	2008 Electric	2011 Electric
	Refunding/	Refunding/	Refunding/
	Revenue	Revenue	Revenue
	Bonds	Bonds	Bonds
	Series A	Series C	Series A
	Rates	Rates	Rates
Interest rate swap:			
Fixed payment to counterparty	3.11100%	3.20400%	3.20100%
Variable payment from counterparty	-0.58168%	-0.58230%	-0.60582%
Net interest rate swap payments	2.52932%	2.62170%	2.59518%
Variable rate bond coupon payments	0.48121%	0.48066%	0.58006%
Synthetic interest rate on bonds	3.01053%	3.10236%	3.17524%
	2011 Water		
			2012
	Refunding/ Revenue	2008	Convention
	Bonds	Renaissance	Center
	Series A	COPs	Financing
•	00110071		
	Rates	Rates	Rates
		itatoo	rtatoo
Interest rate swap:		ratos	11000
Interest rate swap: Fixed payment to counterparty	3.20000%	3.36200%	3.24000%
•			
Fixed payment to counterparty	3.20000%	3.36200%	3.24000%
Fixed payment to counterparty Variable payment from counterparty	3.20000% -0.57363%	3.36200% -0.54260%	3.24000% -2.10871%

Fair Value: As of June 30, 2021, in connection with all swap arrangements, the transactions had a combined net negative fair value of \$46,345. Because

the coupons on the City's variable-rate bonds adjust to changing interest rates, the bonds do not have a corresponding fair value decrease. The fair value was developed by a pricing service using the zero-coupon method. This method calculates the future net settlement payments required by the swap, assuming that the current forward rates implied by the yield curve correctly anticipate future spot interest rates. These payments are then discounted using the spot rates implied by the current yield curve for hypothetical zero-coupon bonds due on the date of each future net settlement of the swap.

Credit risk: The City is not exposed to credit risk on the swaps because those swaps have a negative fair value. The swap counterparties, Bank of America, N.A., Merrill Lynch Capital Services, Inc., BBVA Compass Bank and J.P. Morgan Chase & Co. were rated A+, A-, A and A- respectively by Standard & Poor's. To mitigate the potential for credit risk for these swaps, the swap agreements require the fair value of the swap to be collateralized by the counterparty with U.S. Government securities if the counterparties' rating decreases to negotiated trigger points. Collateral would be posted with a third-party custodian. At June 30, 2021, there is no requirement for collateral posting for any of the outstanding swaps.

Basis risk: The City is exposed to basis risk on its pay-fixed interest rate swap and rate cap hedging derivative instruments because the variable-rate payments received by the City on these hedging derivative instruments are based on a rate or index other than interest rates the city pays on its hedged variable-rate debt. If a change occurs that results in the rates' moving to convergence, the expected cost savings may not be realized.

Termination risk: The derivative contract uses the International Swap Dealers Association Master Agreement, which includes standard termination events, such as failure to pay and bankruptcy. The Schedule to the Master Agreement includes an "additional termination event." That is, a swap may be terminated by the City if either counterparty's credit quality falls below "BBB-"as issued by Standard and Poor's. The City or the counterparty may terminate a swap if the other party fails to perform under the terms of the contract. If a swap is terminated, the variable-rate bond would no longer carry a synthetic interest rate. Also, if at the time of termination, a swap has a negative fair value, the City would be liable to the counterparty for a payment equal to the swap's fair value.

Swap payments and associated debt: As of June 30, 2021, the debt service requirements of the variable-rate debt and net swap payments assuming current interest rates remain the same, for their term are summarized in the

2011 Flootrie

2009 Floatrio

following table. As rates vary, variable-rate bond interest payments and net swap payments will vary.

					Inte	rest Rate		
Fiscal Year	Principal Interest		Interest	Sw	aps, Net	Total		
2022	\$	6,947	\$	1,750	\$	6,063	\$	14,760
2023		7,130		1,609		5,498		14,237
2024		6,104		1,539		5,354		12,997
2025		6,247		1,470		5,214		12,931
2026		13,180		1,377		4,938		19,495
2027-2031		81,323		5,134		19,161		105,618
2032-2036		96,516		1,600		7,076		105,192
2037-2041		5,023		19		108		5,150
Total	\$	222,470	\$	14,498	\$	53,412	\$	290,380

#### 7. Letters of Credit

The City's 2008 Certificates of Participation, 2011 Electric Revenue Bonds (Series A), and 2008 Electric Revenue Bonds (Series A and C) require an additional layer of security between the City and the purchaser of the bonds. The City has entered into the following letters of credit ("LOC") in order to provide liquidity should all or a portion of the debt be optionally tendered to the remarketer without being successfully remarketed:

		LOC	Annual
		Expiration	Commitment
Debt Issue	LOC Provider	Date	<u>Fee</u>
2008 Certificates of Participation	Bank of America, N.A.	2023	0.470%
2008A Electric Revenue Bonds	Barclays Bank, PLC	2024	0.395%
2008C Electric Revenue Bonds	Barclays Bank, PLC	2024	0.395%
2011A Electric Revenue Bonds	Bank of America N.A.	2023	0.295%

To the extent that remarketing proceeds are insufficient or not available, tendered amounts will be paid from drawings made under an irrevocable direct-pay letter of credit.

Liquidity advances drawn against the LOC that are not repaid will be converted to an installment loan with principal to be paid quarterly not to exceed a 5-year period. The City would be required to pay annual interest equal to the highest of 8.0%, the Prime Rate plus 2.50%, the Federal Funds Rate plus 2.50% and 150% of the yield on the 30-year U.S. Treasury Bond. No amounts have ever been drawn against the four letters of credit due to a failed remarketing. The various indentures allow the City to convert the mode of the debt in the case of a failed remarketing.

On February 1, 2019, the City entered into a subordinate letter of credit agreement with U.S. Bank, National Association. The Subordinate Letter of Credit is a tool approved through the Electric and Water Utility Five-Year Rate Plan to manage rate increases by enabling the Electric Utility and Water Utility to reduce cash levels while maintaining compliance with the Riverside Public Utilities Cash Reserve Policy. Under the terms and conditions of the agreement, the City may borrow up to \$35,000 for purposes of the capital or operating financial needs of the Electric System and \$25,000 for purposes of the capital or operating financial needs of the Water System. There were no borrowings against the LOC as of June 30, 2021.

# 8. Long-Term Obligations

<u>Changes in Long-Term Obligations</u>: Below is a summary of changes in long-term obligations during the fiscal year:

	Beginning					Ending	Du	e Within
Governmental activities:	Balance	Ac	lditions	Re	eductions	Balance	O	ne Year
General obligation bonds	\$ 7,874	\$	-	\$	(1,396)	\$ 6,478	\$	1,475
Pension obligation bonds	364,633		-		(11,809)	352,824		14,597
Certificates of participation	94,802		-		(4,587)	90,215		4,675
Lease revenue bonds	75,964		-		(3,493)	72,471		3,248
Direct borrowings:								
Loan payable	899		-		(442)	457		457
Capital leases	18,207		-		(3,285)	14,922		3,327
	\$ 562,379	\$	-	\$	(25,012)	\$ 537,367	\$	27,779

Business-type activities:	I	Beginning Balance	Add	itions	Re	eductions	Endi Balar	0	 e Within ne Year
Revenue bonds	\$	1,212,914	\$	-	\$	(36,309)	\$ 1,176	5,605	\$ 31,970
Pension obligation		119,625		-		(3,398)	116	5,227	5,508
Certificates of		28,483		-		(1,270)	2	7,213	1,300
Lease revenue bonds		7,473		-		(414)	-	7,059	434
Direct borrowings:									
Notes payable		69,519		-		(4,841)	64	4,678	4,729
Contracts payable		1,019		52		(4)		1,067	150
Capital leases		3,633		-		(1,279)	:	2,354	773
	\$	1,442,666	\$	52	\$	(47,515)	\$ 1,39	5,203	\$ 44,864

Governmental activities:	Principal
General Obligation Bonds – Governmental Activities:	Outstanding
\$20,000 Fire Facility Projects, Election of 2003 General Obligation Bond; 3.0% to 5.5%, due in annual installments from \$410 to \$1,740 through	
August 1, 2024.	\$ 6,414
Add: Unamortized bond premium Total General Obligation Bonds	64 \$6,478

Remaining general obligation bond debt service payments will be made from unrestricted revenues of the General fund. Annual debt service requirements to maturity are as follows:

Fiscal Year	P	rincipal	In	terest	 Total
2022	\$	1,475	\$	306	\$ 1,781
2023		1,560		229	1,789
2024		1,640		141	1,781
2025		1,739		48	1,787
Premium		64		-	64
Total	\$	6,478	\$	724	\$ 7,202

	Principal
Pension Obligation Bonds – Governmental Activities:	<u>Outstanding</u>

In 2018, the 2017 Taxable Pension Obligation Bonds were distributed between Governmental Activities, Business-Type Activities and the Successor Agency to properly reflect their proportional share. Pension Obligation bonds are not collateralized by assets, nor do they constitute an obligation of the City of Riverside whereby the City is obligated to levy or pledge any form of taxation.

In 2020, the 2017 Taxable Pension Obligation Bonds outstanding balances in the Successor Agency were distributed between Governmental Activities and Business-Type Activities.

\$89,540 California Statewide Community Development Authority (Public Safety) 2004 Taxable Pension Obligation Bond; 2.65% to 5.896%, due in annual installments from \$1,125 to \$10,715 through	
June 1, 2023.	\$20,445
\$31,960 2017 Taxable Pension Obligation Bonds Series A; 1.25% to 3.125%, due in annual installments from \$2,910 to \$3,580 through June 1, 2027; \$15,299 relates to Governmental Activities.	9,593
\$432,165 2020 Taxable Pension Obligation Bonds Series A; 1.646% to 3.857% due in annual installments from \$2,920 to \$28,310 through June 1, 2045. \$324,582 relates to Governmental Activities	<u>323,015</u>
Subtotal Less: Unamortized bond discount	353,053 (229)

Remaining pension obligation bond debt service payments will be made from unrestricted revenues of the General Fund. Annual debt service requirements to maturity are as follows:

**Total Pension Obligation Bonds** 

Fiscal Year	Principal		Interest		Total	
2022	\$	14,597	\$	12,954	\$	27,551
2023		16,890		12,284		29,174
2024		7,623		11,523		19,146
2025		9,840		11,353		21,193
2026		9,884		11,123		21,007
2027-2031		57,923		51,277		109,200
2032-2036		97,390		38,775		136,165
2037-2041		103,412		18,500		121,912
2042-2046		35,494		2,752		38,246
Discount		(229)		-		(229)
Total	\$	352,824	\$	170,541	\$	523,365

(	amounts	expressed	in	thousands

Certificates of Participation – Governmental Activities:	Principal	Fiscal Year	P	rincipal		nterest	Total
In 2019, the 2008 Riverside Renaissance Certificates of Participation were distributed between Governmental Activities and Business-Type Activities to properly reflect their proportional share.	Outstanding	2022 2023 2024 2025 2026 2027-2031	\$	4,675 4,830 5,054 5,224 5,463 30,861	\$	3,408 3,223 3,031 2,826 2,612 9,649	\$ 8,083 8,053 8,085 8,050 8,075 40,510
\$19,945 2006 Galleria at Tyler Public Improvements Certificates of Participation are secured with collateral of the two level 912 space parking structure located near Tyler Street and Nordstrom store, the Tyler Mall North Plaza, the North Plaza parking, storm drains related to		2032-2036 2037-2041 Premium Total	\$	28,318 5,027 763 90,215	\$	3,421 127 - 28,297	\$ 31,739 5,154 763 118,512
those improvements, and portions of two arterial streets; 4.0% to 5.0%, due in annual installments from \$435 to \$1,270 through September 1, 2036.	\$5	Lease Revenue	e Bonds –	- Governmen	ıtal Acti	vities:	Principal <u>Outstanding</u>
\$128,300 2008 Riverside Renaissance Certificates of Participation are secured with collateral of the Andulka Park, Fairmount Park and Golf Course, Arlington Heights Sports Park, Orange Terrace Park, Orange Terrace Community Center and Orange Terrace Library; issued at a variable rate; however, the City entered into an agreement to convert to a fixed rate of 3.4%. For information on the swap agreement see Note 6. Due in annual installments from \$2,900 to \$7,200 through March 1, 2037; \$71,159 relates to Governmental Activities.  \$35,235 2013 Pavement Rehab Certificates of Participation are secured by Measure A Sales Tax receipts; 4.0% to 5.0%, due in annual installments from \$1,285 to \$2,855 through June 1, 2033.  Subtotal Plus: Unamortized bond premium Total Certificates of Participation	62,787  26,660  89,452  763 \$90,215	In 2019, the Some Bonds were Activities, Bus Agency to propout the Agency to propout the Patrol Center. 2003 Certificate is payable seme each year, colinterest varies for maturity date. For ranging from November 1, 2 the event of de Agreement and Payments on an ot be acceler Activities.	distribution siness-Type of the serly reflect 2012, the serly reflect conds are City Hall of the bondes of Partion i-annually mmencing from 2% to Principal is \$1,295 and of the distribution annual to the serion annual t	ted between the Activities of their proportion of their proportions of t	en Go and artional a ortional a ortional a ortional a lease p d the Lin ed to re- erest ortional a ortional a	overnmental Successor share.  eries 2012A amount of ayments on a coln Police of an	\$20,287
Remaining Certificates of Participation debt service payments from unrestricted revenues of the Debt Service Fund. Annua requirements to maturity are as follows:		\$15,980 2019. (Galleria at Tylolease payment structure locate the Tyler Mall	er Public ts on the ed near Ty	Improvemen two level 9 ler Street an	its) are 912 spa id Nord	secured by ace parking strom store,	

storm drains related to those improvements, and
portions of two arterial streets. The bonds were issued
to refinance all but \$5 of the outstanding 2006 Lease
Revenue Certificates of Participation (Galleria at Tyler
Public Improvements); 2.75% to 4.0%, due in annual
installments from \$605 to \$1,180 through
November 1, 2036. The refunding transaction resulted
in a total net present value savings of \$1,140. In the
event of default, the Trustee may retain the Lease
Agreement and hold the City liable for all Base Rental
Payments on an annual basis. The rental payments may
not be accelerated.

\$33,505 2019B Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds (Main Library Project); 3.0% to 5.0%, due in annual installments from \$1,245 to \$2,645 through November 1, 2036. The bonds are secured by an amendment to the Ground Lease entered into by the City upon issuance of the 2012A Lease Revenue Bonds. It adds the remainder of the City Hall Complex, the Corporation Yard Administration Building and annex, Bobby Bonds Park, and the Main Library site. In the event of default, the Trustee may retain the Lease Agreement and hold the City liable for all Base Rental Payments on an annual basis. The rental payments may not be accelerated.

Subtotal	65.057
Add: Net unamortized bond premium/discount	7,414
Total Lease Revenue Bonds	\$72,471

Remaining lease revenue bond debt service payments will be made from unrestricted revenues of the debt service fund. Annual debt service requirements to maturity are as follows:

Fiscal Year	Pi	rincipal	li	nterest	Total
2022	\$	3,248	\$	2,844	\$ 6,092
2023		3,384		2,700	6,084
2024		3,539		2,542	6,081
2025		3,588		2,389	5,977
2026		3,733		2,242	5,975
2027-2031		21,346		8,468	29,814
2032-2036		22,394		3,275	25,669
2037-2041		3,825		90	3,915
Premium		7,414		-	7,414
Total	\$	72,471	\$	24,550	\$ 97,021

Direct Borrowings: Loans Payable - Governmental Activities:

Principal Outstanding

2012 financing arrangement in the amount of \$4,000 for the construction of Ryan Bonaminio Park at the Tequesquite Arroyo, secured by lease payments for Fire Station #'s 2, 3, 8, 9, 11, and 12. The debt will be paid with resources from the General Fund in semi-annual debt service payments of approximately \$468 per year over a 10-year period, which includes interest at an annualized rate of 3.05%. In the event of default, the Corporation may terminate the Lease and require the City to continue to make lease payments in the same manner as previously.

\$457

The remaining loans payable debt service payment will be made from unrestricted revenues of the General Fund. Annual debt service requirement to maturity is as follows:

Fiscal Year	Principal		Interest		Total	
2022	\$	457	\$	10	\$	467
Total	\$	457	\$	10	\$	467

14.675

30.095

37,450

37.275

267.835

67,790

The following debt has been issued for the purpose of generating capital resources for use in acquiring or constructing municipal facilities or infrastructure projects.

Revenue Bonds – Business-Type Activities:

# Principal Outstanding

\$66.615

130,990

placement bonds were remarketed as public securities in order to obtain a lower rate. The structure is the same and the existing swap is the same.

\$79,080 2013 Electric Revenue Refunding Bonds; Series A fixed rate bonds, 3% to 5.25%, due in annual installments from \$795 to \$12,685 through October 1, 2043.

\$283,325 2019 Electric Refunding/Revenue Bonds; Series A, fixed rate bonds, 5.0%, due in annual installments from \$3,545 to \$24,005 through October 1, 2048. The bonds refunded the 2008 Electric Revenue Bonds Series D and partially refunded the 2008 Electric Revenue Bonds Series A and C. The refunding transactions resulted in a total net present value savings of \$36.810.

 Subtotal
 540,165

 Add: Unamortized bond premium
 47,657

 Subtotal
 587,822

### Water

All water revenue bonds are covenanted per the Amended and Restated Resolution No. 17664 (Water) Master Resolution that upon the occurrence and continuation of an event of default, the owners of 25% in aggregate amount of Bond Obligation may, by written notice to the City, declare the entire unpaid principal and accreted value of the bonds due and payable should the City fail to pay its debts as they become due or upon the entry of any decree or order of bankruptcy of the City.

\$67,790 2009 Water Revenue Bonds; Series B fixed rate bonds, 5.1% to 6.3%, due in annual installments from \$2,475 to \$4,985 through October 1, 2039.

\$59,000 2011 Water Refunding/Revenue Bonds; Series A. The bonds were issued at a variable rate; however, the City entered into an agreement to convert to a fixed rate of 3.2%. For information on the swap agreements see

#### Electric

All electric revenue bonds are covenanted per the Amended and Restated Resolution No. 17662 (Electric) Master Resolution that upon the occurrence and continuation of an event of default, the owners of 25% in aggregate amount of Bond Obligation may, by written notice to the City, declare the entire unpaid principal and accreted value of the bonds due and payable should the City fail to pay its debts as they become due or upon the entry of any decree or order of bankruptcy of the City.

\$141,840 2008 Electric Refunding/Revenue Bonds; Series A & C. The bonds were issued at a variable rate; however, the City entered into an agreement to convert to a fixed rate of 3.1% and 3.2% for the Series A & C bonds, respectively. See Note 6 for information on the swap agreements. Bonds are due in annual installments from \$700 to \$7,835 through October 1, 2035. In 2019, the Electric Fund refunded \$40,425 of the outstanding balance.

\$140,380 2010 Electric Revenue Bonds fixed rate bonds, 3% to 7.65%, due in annual installments from \$95 to \$33,725 through October 1, 2040.

\$56,450 2011 Electric Revenue Refunding Bonds; Series A. The bonds were issued at a variable rate; however, the City entered into an agreement to convert to a fixed rate of 3.2%. For information on the swap agreements see Note 6. Bonds are due in annual installments from \$725 to \$5,175 through October 1, 2035. Upon event of default, the bank may declare the outstanding amount of the obligations payable to be due immediately. During fiscal year 2019-20, the bonds originally issued as private

Note 6. Bonds are due in annual installments from \$600 **Total Revenue Bonds** \$1,176,605 24,050 to \$3,950 through October 1, 2035. Remaining revenue bond debt service payments will be made from revenues \$114.215 2019 Water Refunding/Revenue Bonds: Series of the Electric. Water, and Sewer Enterprise funds. Annual debt service A, fixed rate bonds, 5.0%, due in annual installments from requirements to maturity are as follows: \$1,680 to \$8,455 through October 1, 2048. The bonds refunded the 2008 Water Revenue Bonds Series B and Electric partially refunded and partially unwound the swap on the Total Fiscal Year Principal Interest 2011 Water Revenue Bonds Series A. The refunding \$ 2022 \$ 16,035 24,954 \$ 40,989 transactions resulted in a total net present value savings 2023 16.760 24.174 40.934 of \$10.759. 106.990 2024 17,515 23,362 40,877 2025 18.335 22.488 40.823 Subtotal 198.830 2026 19,305 21,580 40,885 Add: Unamortized bond premium 18,476 109.365 2027-2031 94.522 203.887 Subtotal 217,306 2032-2036 130,895 67,788 198.683 2037-2041 167.130 33.900 201.030 Sewer 2042-2046 29.105 7.310 36.415 All sewer revenue bonds are covenanted per Resolution 2047-2051 15,720 1,205 16,925 No. 21860 Sewer Master Resolution that upon the Premium 47,657 47,657 occurrence and continuation of an event of default, the Total 587,822 \$ 321,283 \$ 909.105 owners of 25% in aggregate amount of Bond Obligation may, by written notice to the City, declare the entire unpaid principal and accreted value of the bonds due and Water payable should the City fail to pay its debts as they Fiscal Year Principal Interest Total become due or upon the entry of any decree or order of 2022 6,640 \$ 8,793 \$ 15,433 bankruptcy of the City. 2023 6.915 8.491 15.406 2024 7.215 8.167 15.382 \$200,030 2015 Sewer Revenue Bonds: Series A fixed 2025 7,540 7,823 15,363 rate bonds, 4% to 5%, due in annual installments from 2026 7,875 7,463 15,338 \$4,790 to \$14,175 through August 1, 2040. 184,965 2027-2031 44,900 31,365 76,265

Add: Unamortized bond premium Subtotal

\$153.670 2018 Sewer Revenue Bonds: Series A fixed

rate bonds, 4% to 5%, due in annual installments from

\$2,905 to \$11,775 through August 1, 2039. The bonds advanced refunded the 2009 Direct Pay Build America

Bonds Series B. The refunding transaction resulted in a

total net present value savings of \$18,932.

Subtotal

147.185

332,150

371,477

39.327

2032-2036

2037-2041

2042-2046

2047-2051

Premium

Total

53.675

46.400

10,225

7.445

18,476

217,306

\$

20.917

8.598

3,189

105,377

571

74.592

54.998

13,414

8,016

18,476

322,683

			Sewer	
Fiscal Year	F	Principal	Interest	Total
2022	\$	9,295	\$ 16,146	\$ 25,441
2023		9,770	15,669	25,439
2024		11,460	15,139	26,599
2025		12,050	14,551	26,601
2026		12,670	13,933	26,603
2027-2031		73,780	59,226	133,006
2032-2036		94,740	38,266	133,006
2037-2041		108,385	12,551	120,936
Premium		39,327	 	39,327
Total	\$	371,477	\$ 185,481	\$ 556,958

Pension Obligation Bonds – Business Type Activities:

In 2018, the 2017 Taxable Pension Obligation Bonds were distributed between Governmental Activities, Business-Type Activities, and Successor Agency to properly reflect their proportional share. Pension Obligation Bonds are not collateralized by assets, nor do they constitute a debt of the City of Riverside whereby the City is obligated to levy or pledge any form of taxation.

In 2020, the 2017 Taxable Pension Obligation Bonds outstanding balances in the Successor Agency were distributed between Governmental Activities and Business-Type Activities.

\$31,960 2017 Taxable Pension Obligation Bonds Series A; 1.25% to 3.125%, due in annual installments from \$2,910 to \$3,580 through June 1, 2027. \$16,661 relates to Business Type Activities.

\$432,165 2020 Taxable Pension Obligation Bonds Series A; 1.646% to 3.857% due in annual installments from \$2,920 to \$28,310 through June 1, 2045. \$107,583 relates to Business-Type Activities.

**Total Pension Obligation Bonds** 

\$116,227

Remaining pension obligation bond debt service payments will be made from unrestricted revenues of the Business-type activities funds. Annual debt service requirements to maturity are as follows:

Pension Obligation Bonds

Fiscal Year	Principal		Principal Interest		Total	
2022	\$	5,508	\$	3,596	\$	9,104
2023		7,010		3,488		10,498
2024		8,457		3,342		11,799
2025		9,365		3,153		12,518
2026		9,636		2,932		12,568
2027-2031		27,727		11,504		39,231
2032-2036		25,925		7,397		33,322
2037-2041		20,483		2,621		23,104
2042-2046		2,116		108		2,224
Total	\$	116,227	\$	38,141	\$	154,368

Certificates of Participation – Business Type Activities:

Principal Outstanding

In 2019, the 2008 Riverside Renaissance Certificates of Participation were distributed between Governmental Activities and Business-Type Activities to properly reflect their proportional shares.

\$128,300 2008 Riverside Renaissance Certificates of Participation are secured with collateral of the Andulka Park, Fairmount Park and Golf Course, Arlington Heights Sports Park, Orange Terrace Park, Orange Terrace Community Center and Orange Terrace Library; issued at a variable rate; however, the City entered into an agreement to convert to a fixed rate of 3.4%. For information on the swap agreement see Note 6. Due in annual installments from \$2,900 to \$7,200 through March 1, 2037; \$30,841 relates to the Civic Entertainment Fund.

\$27,213

105,780

\$10,447

Principal

Outstanding

Total Certificates of Participation

\$27,213

Total Lease Revenue Bonds

\$7.059

Remaining certificates of participation debt service payments will be made from unrestricted revenues of the Civic Entertainment Fund. Annual debt service requirements to maturity are as follows:

Fiscal Year	P	Principal		Interest		Total
2022	\$	1,300	\$	904	\$	2,204
2023		1,330		860		2,190
2024		1,391		815		2,206
2025		1,421		768		2,189
2026		1,482		719		2,201
2027-2031		8,254		2,805		11,059
2032-2036		9,857		1,300		11,157
2037-2041		2,178		55		2,233
Total	\$	27,213	\$	8,226	\$	35,439

Lease Revenue Bonds – Business Type Activities:

Principal Outstanding

In 2019, the Series 2012A Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds were distributed between Governmental Activities, Business-Type Activities, and the Successor Agency to properly reflect their proportional share.

On August 15, 2012, the City issued the Series 2012A Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds in the amount of \$41,240. The bonds are secured by lease payments on a portion of the City Hall Complex and the Lincoln Police Patrol Center. The bonds were issued to refinance the 2003 Certificates of Participation. Interest on the bonds is payable semi-annually on May 1 and November 1 of each year, commencing May 1, 2013. The rate of interest varies from 2% to 5% per annum depending on maturity date. Principal is payable in annual installments ranging from \$1,295 to \$2,840 commencing November 1, 2013 and ending November 1, 2033. In the event of default, the Trustee may retain the Lease Agreement and hold the City liable for all Base Rental Payments on an annual basis. The rental payments may not be accelerated. \$8,242 relates to Business-Type Activities.

Remaining lease revenue bond debt service payments will be made from unrestricted revenues of the Parking Fund. Annual debt service requirements to maturity are as follows:

700
736
737
736
702
701
3,498
2,089
9,199

The following are legally required debt service cash reserves. These amounts, at a minimum, are held by the City or fiscal agents at June 30, 2021:

Governmental long-term obligations:	
Certificates of participation	\$ 7,426
Total	\$ 7,426
Enterprise funds:	

Enterprise runds:	
Electric	\$ 10,805
Total	\$ 10,805

The City has a number of debt issuances outstanding that are collateralized by the pledging of certain revenues. The amount and term of the remainder of these commitments are indicated in the debt service to maturity tables presented in the accompanying notes. The purposes for which the proceeds of the related debt issuances were utilized are disclosed in the debt descriptions in the accompanying notes. For the current year, debt service payments as a percentage of the pledged gross revenue (or net of certain expenses where so required by the debt agreement) are indicated in the table below. The debt service coverage ratios also approximate the relationship of debt service to pledged revenue for the remainder of the term of the commitment.

\$7,059

	Annual Aı Pledged I				Debt Service nents (all of	Coverage Ratio		
Description of	(net of ex	penses,		debt	secured by	for FY		
Pledged Revenue	where re	quired)		this	revenue)	06/30/21		
Electric revenues	\$	89,371	*	\$	44,923	1.99		
Water revenues		37,614	*		16,692	2.25		
Sewer revenues		35,990			26,631	1.35		

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes non-cash pension expense

There are also a number of limitations and restrictions contained in Assessment Bond indentures. The City believes they are in compliance with all significant limitations and restrictions.

Direct Borrowings: Notes Payable – Business Type Activities:

Principal Outstanding

Note payable consists of several agreements with Hillwood Enterprises, L.P. and related entities (collectively Hillwood) for their development of logistic centers located in the City of San Bernardino. As a part of these agreements, the Water Utility leases land to Hillwood and also purchased land from Hillwood with a subsequent lease-back to the entity. In addition, the agreements require Hillwood to relocate wells located on the properties as well as terminate an existing lease. In consideration of the cost of the land purchase, well relocations and lease termination, the Water Utility will make payments to Hillwood in the form of a credit with Hillwood's rental payments to the Water Utility for the first 15 years of the leases.

Public Parking Fund and Civic Entertainment Fund loan for Fox Entertainment Plaza project secured with collateral of the Fox Theater, Fox Entertainment Plaza, and Parking Garage No. 7. 3.85%, payable in net annual installments of \$1,747, beginning June 16, 2011 through December 16, 2031. In the event of default, the City would continue to remain liable for the payment of Rental Payments and damages for breach of the Lease.

On July 19, 2012, the City secured financing in the amount of \$41.650 with BBVA Compass Bank for the renovation and expansion of the Riverside Convention Center (Civic Entertainment Fund) secured with collateral of the convention center facility. March 2014, the financing arrangement with BBVA was increased to \$44.650. The financing consists of an initial 21-month variable rate interest only period during construction that has a swap transaction layered over the remaining 20-year amortization resulting in a "synthetic fixed" rate of 3.24% for 20 of the 22 years. For information on the swap agreement see Note 6. At the end of the construction period, principal and interest are due on the first of each month, with equal payments each year of approximately \$2,850. In the event of default, the outstanding amount of the site lease payment drawn by the City and not repaid will bear interest at a default rate that will be charged until the default is cured.

31,569

#### **Total Notes Payable**

\$64,478

Remaining notes payable debt service payments will be made from unrestricted revenues of the Water Fund, Public Parking Fund, and Civic Entertainment Fund. Annual debt service requirements to maturity are as follows:

14/-4-- **F**------

\$18.139

Fiscal Year	Р	rincipal	Ir	nterest	Total		
2022	\$	1,500	\$	383	\$	1,883	
2023		1,576		350		1,926	
2024		1,655		314		1,969	
2025		1,739		277		2,016	
2026		1,827		237		2,064	
2027-2031		8,656		528		9,184	
2032-2036		1,186		19		1,205	
Total	\$	18,139	\$	2,108	\$	20,247	

14.970

	Non-major Enterprise Funds								
Fiscal Year		Principal		Interest	Total				
2022	\$	3,229	\$	1,552	\$	4,781			
2023		3,338		1,439		4,777			
2024		3,446		1,322		4,768			
2025		3,568		1,200		4,768			
2026		3,688		1,075		4,763			
2027-2031		20,389		3,341		23,730			
2032-2036		8,881		377		9,258			
Total	\$	46,539	\$	10,306	\$	56,845			

Direct Borrowings: Contracts Payable Principal Outstanding

Water stock acquisition rights payable on demand to various water companies

\$1,067

**Direct Borrowings: Capital Leases** 

The City leases various equipment through capital leasing arrangements in the governmental and proprietary fund types. These activities are recorded for both governmental and business-type activities in the government-wide financial statements. The assets and related obligations under leases in governmental funds are not recorded in the fund statements. For proprietary funds, the assets and their related liabilities are reported directly in the fund. Amortization applicable to proprietary assets acquired through capital lease arrangements is included with depreciation for financial statement presentation.

The assets acquired through capital leases are as follows:

	(	Governmental	I	Business-type
<u>Asset</u>		Activities		Activities
Machinery and equipment		26,926		8,007
Subtotal		26,926		8,007
Less: Accumulated depreciation		(10,132)		(5,290)
Total	\$	16,794	\$	2,717

The future minimum lease obligations as of June 30, 2021 were as follows:

Fiscal Year	Governmental Activities	Business-type Activities	
2022	\$ 3,640	\$ 819	
2023	2,808	626	
2024	2,715	259	
2025	2,715	259	
2026	1,619	260	
Thereafter	2,429	260	
Total minimum lease payments	15,926	2,483	
Less: Amount representing interest			
(rates ranging from 1.2% to 9%)	 (1,004)	 (129)	
Total capital lease payable	\$ 14,922	\$ 2,354	

#### 9. Compensated Absences

A liability is recorded for unused vacation and similar compensatory leave balances since the employees' entitlement to these balances are attributable to services already rendered and it is probably that virtually all of these balances will be liquidated by either paid time-off or payments upon termination or retirement.

Below is a summary of changes in compensated absences during the fiscal year:

	Beginning			Е	nding	Due Within
Compensated absences:	Balance	Additions	Reductions	В	alance	One Year
Governmental activities	\$ 29,228	\$ 16,305	\$ (14,632)	\$	30,901	\$ 15,472
Business-type activities	10,105	7,832	(5,932)		12,005	6,997
	\$ 39,333	\$ 24,137	\$ (20,564)	\$	42,906	\$ 22,469

### 10. Risk Management

The City is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees; and natural disasters. Property insurance coverage has a limit of \$1,000,000 with a deductible of \$100. Earthquake and flood insurance coverage has a limit of \$25,000, with a deductible of 5% (subject to \$100 minimum for earthquake and \$100 for flood). Workers' compensation insurance coverage has a limit of \$25,000, with a self-insured retention of \$3,000. The City has two General Liability policies: a primary and an excess General Liability policy. The primary General Liability policy coverage has a limit of \$15,000 and the Excess General Liability policy provides an additional \$10,000 of coverage, with a self-insured retention of \$3,000. Both the primary and excess General liability

policies cover general and auto liability claims including but not limited to Law Enforcement Liability and Public Officials errors and omissions. There were no claims settled in the last three fiscal years that exceed insurance coverage. Internal service funds have been established to account for and finance the uninsured risks of loss.

All funds of the City participate in the Risk Management program and make payments to the Internal Service Funds based on actuarial estimates of the amounts needed to fund prior and current year claims and incidents that have been incurred but not reported. Interfund premiums are accounted for as quasi-external transactions and are therefore recorded as revenues of the Internal Service Funds in the fund financial statements.

Changes in the self-insurance fund's claims liability amounts are:

Governmental activities	Beginning Balance	8			Due Within One Year		
Claims liability	\$ 53,828	\$ 35,818	\$ (13,043)	\$ 76,603	\$ 15,044		
Unpaid claims, June 30, 2	2019			\$	48,459		
Incurred claims (includi	ng IBNR's)				12,324		
Claim payments and ad	ljustments				(6,955)		
Unpaid claims, June 30, 2	2020				53,828		
Incurred claims (includi	ng IBNR's)				35,818		
Claim payments and ad	ljustments				(13,043)		
Unpaid claims, June 30, 2	2021			\$	76,603		

# 11. Landfill Capping

State and Federal laws and regulations require the City to place a final cover on all active landfills when closed and to perform certain maintenance and monitoring functions at the landfill site for 30 years after closure. To comply with these laws and regulations, the City is funding the costs of closure and "final capping" of the Tequesquite landfill located in the City. This area, comprised of approximately 120 acres, operated as a "Class II Sanitary Landfill" until its closure in 1985. During its operation, the landfill did not accept hazardous waste and no clean up and abatement or cease and desist orders have been issued to the City. The capacity used at June 30, 2021 was 100%. The remaining post closure period is currently 20 years.

The estimated costs as determined and updated by the Public Works Department are associated with flood control upgrades, remediation of possible ground water contamination and control of methane gas. All potential costs have been recognized in the financial statements. However, there is the potential for these estimates to change due to inflation, deflation, technology, or change in laws or regulations. The City is recovering such costs in rates charged to its customers. The portion of costs to be recovered through future rates is classified as a regulatory asset and will be amortized over future periods.

Below is a summary of changes in landfill capping liability during the fiscal year:

Beginning							Ending	Due Within	
Business-type activities	Balance	Addi	tions	Red	uctions	B	alance	One	Year
Landfill capping	\$ 10,776	\$		\$	(357)	\$	10,419	\$	559

#### 12. Nuclear Decommissioning Liability

As of June 30, 2021, decommissioning liability balance was \$50,896 with a portion reflected as current liabilities payable from restricted assets. Due to adequate funding of the liability, the Electric Utility no longer provides additional funding to the trustee. However, since the decommissioning cost estimate is subject to a number of uncertainties including the cost of disposal of nuclear waste, site remediation costs, as well as a number of other assumptions and estimates, the Electric Utility will continue to set aside funds in the unrestricted designated decommissioning reserve of \$2,000 per year, as approved by the Board of Public Utilities and City Council.

Below is a summary of changes in decommissioning liability during the fiscal year:

Beginning			Ending	Due Within	
Balance	Additions	Reductions	Balance	One Year	
\$ 55,708	\$ 628	\$ (5,440)	\$ 50,896	\$ 7,254	
	Balance	Balance Additions	Balance Additions Reductions	Balance Additions Reductions Balance	

# 13. Commitments and Contingencies

# Intermountain Power Agency

The Electric Utility has entered into a power purchase contract with Intermountain Power Agency (IPA) for the delivery of electric power. The Electric Utility's share of IPA power is equal to 7.6 percent, or approximately 137.1 MW, of the net generation output of IPA's 1,800 MW coal-fueled generating station, known as Intermountain Power Project (IPP), located in central Utah. The contract expires in 2027 and the debt fully matures in 2024.

The contract constitutes an obligation of the Electric Utility to make payments solely from operating revenues. The power purchase contract requires the Electric Utility to pay certain minimum charges that are based on debt service requirements and other fixed costs. Such payments are considered a cost of production.

On September 29, 2006, Senate Bill 1368 (SB 1368) was enacted into law. The bill requires electric service providers to limit financial investments in power plants to those that adhere to greenhouse gas performance standards as determined by the Public Utilities Commission. Pursuant to this legislation, the Electric Utility is prohibited from renewing its participation in IPP if it remains a coal fueled generating resource.

In order to facilitate the continued participation in the IPP, the IPA Board issued the Second Amendatory Power Sales Contract, which amended the IPP Contract allowing the plant to replace the coal units with combined cycle natural gas units by July 1, 2025. On June 16, 2015, the City Council approved the Intermountain Power Project renewal agreements, including the Second Amendatory Power Sales Contract and the Renewal Power Sales Contract, and authorized participation in the IPP Repower Project for up to 5 percent in generation capacity or 60 MW. The Second Amendatory Power Sales Contract became effective March 16, 2016.

On January 5, 2017, the Electric Utility executed the Renewal Power Sales Contract and the Electric Utility accepted an offer of 4.167 percent entitlement or 50 MW generation capacity in the IPP Repower Project based on the 1,200 MW designed capacity, which is within the maximum participation level approved by the City Council. The Electric Utility's corresponding Southern Transmission System allocation is 5.278 percent or approximately 127 MW. Further, under the Renewal Power Sales Contract, the Electric Utility has the right to exit from the Repower Project by no later than November 1, 2019, if it is determined that the Repower Project is not cost beneficial to its customers.

On September 11, 2018, the City Council approved "Alternative Repowering" of the IPP Repower Project, which reduced the design capacity of the future plant from 1,200 MW to 840 MW.

On May 7, 2019, the City Council authorized termination of the Renewal Power Sales Contract between the IPA and the Electric Utility effective November 1, 2019, and the Electric Utility's exit from the IPP Repower Project upon the expiration date of the current Power Sales Contract on June 15, 2027, due to numerous uncertainties surrounding the IPP Repower Project.

The Electric Utility is a member of SCPPA, a joint powers agency. SCPPA provides for the financing and construction of electric generating and transmission projects for participation by some or all of its members. To the extent the Electric Utility participates in take-or-pay projects developed by SCPPA, it has entered into Power Purchase or Transmission Service Agreements, entitling the Electric Utility to the power output or transmission service, as applicable, and the Electric Utility will be obligated for its proportionate share of the project costs whether or not such generation output of transmission service is available.

The projects and the Electric Utility's proportionate share of SCPPA's obligations, including final maturities and contract expirations are as follows:

Project	Percent Share	Entitlement	Final Maturity	Contract Expiration
Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station	5.40%	12.3 MW	2017	2030
Southern Transmission System	10.20%	244.0 MW	2027	2027
Mead-Phoenix Transmission	4.00%	18.0 MW	2020	2030
Mead-Adelanto Transmission	13.50%	118.0 MW	2020	2030

### Terms of Take or Pay Commitments

As part of the take-or-pay commitments with IPA and SCPPA, the Electric Utility has agreed to pay its share of current and long-term obligations. Management intends to pay these obligations from operating revenues received during the year that payment is due. A long-term obligation has not been recorded on the accompanying financial statements for these commitments. Take-or-pay commitments terminate upon the later of contract expiration or final maturity of outstanding bonds for each project.

The outstanding debts associated with the take-or-pay obligations have fixed interest rates which range from 2.295 percent to 5.00 percent. The schedule below details the amount of principal and interest that is due and payable by the Electric Utility as part of the take-or-pay contract for each project in the fiscal year indicated.

	IPA			SCPPA	TOTAL	
Debt Service						
Payment	Int	ermountain		Southern		
(in thousands)		Power	Tr	ransmission		All
Year Ending June 30,		Project		System	Р	rojects
2022	\$	8,228	\$	9,369	\$	17,597
2023		8,064		7,083		15,147
2024		840		7,125		7,965
2025		-		3,261		3,261
2026		-		3,257		3,257
2027-2031		-		6,507		6,507
Total	\$	17,132	\$	36,602	\$	53,734

In addition to debt service, the Electric Utility's entitlements require the payment of fuel costs, operating and maintenance, administrative and general and other miscellaneous costs associated with the generation and transmission facilities discussed above. These costs do not have a similar structured payment schedule as debt service varies each year. The costs incurred for the years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020, are as follows (in thousands):

	n M	Generating		Southern Transmission		Mead- Phoenix		Mead- delanto	All	
FISCAL YEAR	Project <sup>1</sup>	5	Station <sup>1</sup>		System	Tra	nsmission	Tra	nsmission	Projects
2021	\$ 20,648	3 \$	2,951	\$	5,126	\$	44	\$	424	\$ 29,193
2020	\$ 20,156	5 \$	2,870	\$	5,533	\$	50	\$	541	\$ 29,150

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Excludes variable cost.

These costs are included in production and purchased power or transmission expense on the Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position.

The Electric Utility has become a Participating Transmission Owner with the California Independent System Operator (CAISO) and has turned over the operational control of its transmission entitlements including the Southern Transmission System, Mead-Phoenix and Mead-Adelanto Transmission Projects. In return, users of the California's high voltage transmission grid are charged for, and the Electric Utility receives reimbursement for, transmission revenue requirements, including the costs associated with these three transmission projects.

### **Hoover Uprating Project**

The Electric Utility's entitlement in the Hoover project through SCPPA terminated on September 30, 2017. On August 23, 2016, the City Council approved a 50-year Electric Service Contract (ESC) and an Amended and Restated Implementation Agreement (IA) with the Western Area Power Administration (Western), Bureau of Reclamation for 30 MW of hydroelectric power. The contract with Western is effective as of October 1, 2017. The ESC extends the Electric Utility's 30 MW entitlement in the Hoover project an additional 50 years. The IA is a supplemental agreement to the ESC that establishes administrative, budgetary and project oversight by creating project committees and process for decision making plant operations.

#### Nuclear Insurance

The Price-Anderson Act (the Act) requires that all utilities with nuclear generating facilities purchase the maximum private primary nuclear liability insurance available (\$450 million) and participate in the industry's secondary financial protection plan. The secondary financial protection program is the industry's retrospective assessment plan that uses deferred premium charges from every licensed reactor owner if claims and/or costs resulting from a nuclear incident at any licensed reactor in the United States were to exceed the primary nuclear insurance at that plant's site. Effective June 10, 2021, the Act limits liability from third-party claims to approximately \$13.5 billion per incident. Under the industry wide retrospective assessment program provided for under the Act, assessments are limited to \$137.6 million per reactor for each nuclear incident occurring at any nuclear reactor in the United States, with payments under the program limited to \$20.5 million per reactor, per year, per event to be indexed for inflation every five years. Based on the Electric Utility's interest in Palo Verde, the Electric Utility would be responsible for a maximum assessment of \$1.3 million, limited to payments of \$0.2 million per incident, per year. If the public liability limit above is insufficient, federal regulations may impose further revenue-raising measures to pay claims, including a possible additional assessment on all licensed reactor operators.

# Renewable Portfolio Standards (RPS)

On April 12, 2011, the California Renewable Energy Resources Act (SBX1-2) was signed into law by the Governor, which officially created the first set of tiered RPS targets of 20% by 2013, 25% by 2016 and 33% by 2020. SBX1-2 specified that publicly owned utilities must meet these defined targets via interim Compliance Period (CP) targets to achieve the end goal of 33% RPS by December 31, 2020 as follows: CP1 - an average of 20 percent of retail

sales during the 3-year period from 2011-2013; CP2 – no less than 25 percent of retail sales by December 31, 2016; and CP3 – no less than 33 percent of retail sales by December 31, 2020. The Riverside Public Utilities Board and City Council approved the RPS Enforcement Program required by SBX1-2 on November 18, 2011 and December 13, 2011, respectively, and further approved the Electric Utility's RPS Procurement Plan (a.k.a. Procurement Policy) implementing the new RPS mandates on May 3, 2013 and May 14, 2013, respectively. The Electric Utility has met the procurement requirements of SBX1-2 for CP1 (2011-2013) and CP2 (2014-2016). The additional future mandates are expected to be met with resource procurement actions as outlined in the Electric Utility's RPS Procurement Plan. For calendar year 2020, renewable resources provided 42 percent of retail sales requirements.

On October 7, 2015, the Governor signed into law Senate Bill 350 (SB 350) increasing the RPS mandate from 33 percent by 2020 to 50 percent by December 31, 2030. In addition, SB 350 required that an updated RPS Procurement Policy must be approved and adopted before January 1, 2019 and be incorporated into the Electric Utility's Integrated Resource Plan. An Updated 2018 Renewable Energy Procurement Policy was adopted by the Board and City Council on September 10, 2018 and October 9, 2018, respectively. The Electric Utility expects to be able to substantially meet the increased RPS mandates imposed by SB 350 with the actions described in the updated procurement policy and the portfolio of renewable resources outlined below.

On September 10, 2018, the 100 Percent Clean Energy Act of 2018 (Senate Bill 100) was signed into law by the California Governor. This bill further increases the RPS goals of SBX1-2 and SB 350 while maintaining the 33 percent RPS target by December 31, 2020, but modifying the RPS percentages to be 44 percent by December 31, 2024, 52 percent by December 31, 2027, 60 percent by December 31, 2030, with an end goal of 100 percent of total retail sales of electricity in California generated from eligible renewable energy resources and zero-carbon resources by December 31, 2045. It is expected that the California Energy Commission will have further guidance and enforcement procedures for publicly owned utilities to meet these increased mandates. The Electric Utility will continue to monitor the outcome and impacts of any upcoming workshops and regulations in meeting the new requirements.

In an effort to increase the share of renewables in the Electric Utility's power portfolio, the Electric Utility entered into power purchase agreements (PPA) and power sales agreements (PSA) with various entities described below in

general on a "take-and-pay" basis. The contracts in the following tables were executed as part of compliance with RPS mandates.

Long-term renewable PPAs and PSAs in operation (dollars in thousands):

				Estir	nated
		Maximum	Contract	Annu	al Cost
Supplier	Туре	Contract 1	Expiration	For	2021
Wintec Energy, Ltd.	Wind	1.3 MW	2/19/2024	\$	150
WKN Wagner	Wind	6.0 MW	12/22/2032		1,318
Terraform Power - AP North Lake	Photovoltaic	20.0 MW	8/11/2040		4,833
Dominion - Columbia II	Photovoltaic	11.1 MW	12/22/2034		2,313
GlidePath Power Solutions - GPS Cabazon Wind LLC	Wind	39.0 MW	1/1/2025		4,299
Capital Dynamics - Kingbird Solar B, LLC	Photovoltaic	14.0 MW	12/31/2036		2,867
FTP Solar					
sPower - Summer Solar	Photovoltaic	10.0 MW	12/31/2041		1,748
sPower - Antelope Big Sky Ranch	Photovoltaic	10.0 MW	12/31/2041		1,748
sPower - Antelope DSR 1 Solar	Photovoltaic	25.0 MW	12/19/2036		3,825
Capital Dynamics - Tequesquite Landfill Solar	Photovoltaic	7.3 MW	12/31/2040		1,402
American Renewable Power-Loyalton	Biomass	0.8 MW	4/19/2023		615
Roseburg Forest Products	Biomass	0.5 MW	2/16/2026		179
CalEnergy - Salton Sea Portfolio	Geothermal	86.0 MW	12/31/2039		54,798
Atlantica - Coso Geothermal	Geothermal	10.0 MW	12/31/2042		2,698
Total		241.0 MW		\$	82,793

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All contracts are contingent on energy delivered from specific related generating facilities. The Electric Utility has no commitment to pay any amounts except for energy delivered on a monthly basis from these facilities except for any economic curtailments directed by the Electric Utility.

### Long-term renewable PPAs with expected delivery:

Supplier	Туре	Maximum Contract 1	Expected Delivery	Energy Delivery No Later Than	Contract Term In Years
Avangrid Renewable - Camino Solar plus Storage	Hybrid (Solar & Battery)	44.0 MW <sup>2</sup>	Delayed	10/31/2022	15
Atlantica - Coso Geothermal	Geothermal	20.0 MW	1/1/2027	1/1/2027	15
Tota	I	64.0 MW			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All contracts are contingent on energy delivered from specific related generating facilities. The Electric Utility has no commitment to pay any amounts except for energy delivered on a monthly basis from these facilities except for any economic curtailments directed by the Electric Utility.

# Cap-and-Trade Program

Assembly Bill (AB) 32, enacted in 2006, mandated that the California Air Resources Board (CARB) develop regulations for the reduction of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions to the 1990 levels by the year 2020. Subsequently,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Represents solar capacity only; battery capacity is 11 MW and 4-hour duration.

Senate Bill (SB 32), enacted in 2016, extended the requirements of AB 32 and codified that it was the State's goal to reduce GHG emissions to 40% below 1990 levels by the year 2020. SB 398 was then enacted in 2017 clarifying that it was the State legislature's intent to continue the Cap-and-Trade Program and regulations until 2030. In January 2013, emission compliance obligations developed by CARB began under the Cap-and-Trade Program (Program). This Program requires electric utilities to have GHG allowances on an annual basis to offset GHG emissions associated with generating electricity. To ease the transition and mitigate the rate impacts to retail customers, CARB will allocate certain amounts of GHG allowances at no cost to electrical distribution utilities. The Electric Utility's free allocation of GHG allowances is expected to be sufficient to meet the Electric Utility's direct GHG compliance obligations.

At times, the Electric Utility may have allocated allowances in excess of its compliance obligations that can be sold into the CARB quarterly auctions. In fiscal year ended June 30, 2021 the Electric Fund received \$8,251 in proceeds related to the sale of the GHG allowances, which are included on the Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position as other operating revenue. The Electric Utility has established a restricted Regulatory Requirement reserve to comply with regulatory restrictions and governing requirements related to the use of the GHG proceeds. The available funds are to be utilized for qualifying projects, consistent with the goals of AB 32 to benefit the retail ratepayers. The balance in the Regulatory Requirement reserve was \$14,555 as of June 30, 2021.

The Electric Utility also purchases GHG allowances, which can be used in future periods for GHG compliance regulations. The balance of purchased GHG allowances was \$971 as of June 30, 2021 is recorded as inventory in the Statements of Net Position.

# Low Carbon Fuel Standard Program

Assembly Bill (AB) 32, enacted in 2006, mandated that the California Air Resources Board (CARB) develop regulations for the reduction of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions to the 1990 levels by the year 2020. Subsequently, Senate Bill (SB 32), enacted in 2016, extended the requirements of AB 32 and codified that is was the State's goal to reduce GHG emissions to 40% below 1990 levels by the year 2020. Like the Cap-and-Trade Program, the Low Carbon Fuel Standard (LCFS) Program is a key component of the market mechanisms authorized by these bills to achieve the State's GHG emissions reduction goals. The LCFS regulation was initially approved by CARB in 2009. The program then underwent some litigation in the State of California and the regulation was re-adopted in 2015 with modifications and went into effect in

2016. LCFS seeks to reduce the carbon intensity (CI) of fuels used for transportation by establishing an annual CI target. Fuels that have a CI greater than the target have a compliance obligation and are required to turn in LCFS credits; fuels with a CI lower than the target may generate credits.

Electricity is considered a fuel subject to the program when it is used as a transportation fuel in electric vehicles. However, because the CI of electricity is substantially lower than the annual CI targets under the program, electricity is a fuel that generates LCFS credits and participation in the Program is voluntary. The City opted into the LCFS program in March 2018 and began generating LCFS credits for the first quarter of 2018. These credits are associated with two sources – unmetered electricity used to charge residents' electric vehicles at their homes (residential base credits) and from electric forklifts charging at private businesses (forklift credits). CARB calculates the credits that the Electric Utility receives, and the Electric Utility submits reports quarterly to receive the credits.

The LCFS regulation was amended in 2018 and required that electric utilities that have opted into the LCFS Program participate in and manage a statewide point-of-sale rebate program for new electric vehicles. This program is called the California Clean Fuel Reward Program (CFR) and the City joined the program in May 2020. To fund the program, electric utilities are required to contribute proceeds received from the sales of residential base credits beginning with the credits the Electric Utility received in Q4 2019 (generated from electricity used for transportation in Q2 2019). Residential base credits the Electric Utility received prior to that time are not subject to the contribution requirements. Additionally, a small "start-up" contribution from proceeds is required to be submitted by January 31, 2021. After the initial deposit of funds in November 2020, deposits to the CFR program are required by March 31 annually.

In fiscal year ended June 30, 2021, the Electric Utility's proceeds from the sale of LCFS credits of \$1,166. These proceeds are included on the Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position as other operating revenue. The Electric Utility has established a restricted Regulatory Requirement reserve to comply with regulatory restrictions and governing requirements related to the use of the LCFS proceeds. The available funds are to be utilized for qualifying projects that support the Electric Utility's customers who are existing and future electric vehicle owners. Qualifying projects as of June 30, 2021 is approximately \$440. The balance in the Regulatory Requirement reserve as of June 30, 2021 was \$2,368.

### 14. Other Post-Employment Benefits (OPEB)

Plan description - The City's defined benefit OPEB plan, Retiree Health Plan, provides continuation of medical (including prescription drugs) and dental coverage benefits to retirees and surviving spouses in the form of an implied rate subsidy. The Retiree Health Benefits plan is a single employer defined benefit OPEB plan administered by the City. No assets are accumulated in a trust that meets the criteria in paragraph 4 of GASB Statement No. 75.

Benefits provided - Eligibility for continuation of coverage requires retirement from the City and CalPERS with at least 5 years of City service. The retiree is responsible for 100% of the premium cost for coverage, which is based on the blended experience of both the active and retired employees. The City is not required by law or contractual agreement to provide funding other than the pay-as-you-go amount necessary to provide current benefit to eligible retirees and beneficiaries. Retiree and spousal coverage terminate when the retirees become covered under another employer health plan, or when the retiree reaches Medicare eligibility ago, which is currently age 65. However, retiree benefit continues to the surviving spouse if the retiree elects the CalPERS survivor annuity.

Employees covered by benefit terms – At June 30, 2020, the following employees were covered by the benefit terms:

Inactive plan members or beneficiaries currently receiving benefits	274
Inactive plan members entitled to but not yet reveiving benefits	-
Active plan members	2,138
Total	2,412

Significant Actuarial Assumptions Used in Calculating the Total OPEB Liability

The total OPEB liability was determined by an actuarial valuation using the following actuarial assumptions:

Valuation Date:	June 30, 2019
Measurement Date:	June 30, 2020

Funding Policy: Pay-as-you-go for implicit rate subsidy

Discount Rate:	3.51% as of July 1, 2019 and 2.66% as of June 30, 2020 per annum. This discount rate is the maximum of the range of 3-20 year municipal bond rate indices: S&P Municipal Bond 20 Year High Grade Rate Index, Bond Buyer 20-Bond GO Index, and Fidelity GO AA 20 Year Bond Index.
Inflation Rate:	3.0% per annum
Salary Inflation:	3.0% per annum
Salary Increases	The benefits are not payroll related but the City's cost for each individual's projected City contribution is allocated over their lifetime as a level-percentage of pay. For cost method purposes the merit increases from the most recent CalPERS pension plan valuation will be used
Mortality	Based on the CalPERS 2017 Experience Study

Sensitivity analysis of total OPEB liability for healthcare cost trend rates.

The following presents the total net OPEB liability, calculating using the healthcare cost trend rate of 6.25% decreasing to 4.50%, as well as what the total OPEB liability would be if it were calculated using a healthcare cost trend rate that is 1-percentage-point lower (5.25% decreasing to 3.50%) or 1-percentage-point higher (7.25% decreasing to 5.50%) than the current rate:

	1%	Decrease		nt Healthcare Trend Rate	1%	ncrease
	`	decreasing to 3.50%)	`	% decreasing 0 4.50%)	`	% decreasing 5.50%)
Total Net OPEB liability	\$	45,698	\$	52,276	\$	60,157

Sensitivity analysis of total net OPEB liability for discount rates

The following presents the total OPEB liability, calculating using the discount rate of 2.66%, as well as what the total OPEB liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower (1.66%) or 1-percentage-point higher (3.66%) than the current rate:

	Current					
	1%	Decrease	Dis	count Rate	1%	6 Increase
	(	(1.66%)		(2.66%)		(3.66%)
Total Net OPEB liability	\$	57,443	\$	52,276	\$	47,617

### Change in total OPEB liability

For fiscal year 2021, the City recognized total OPEB expense of \$992. The following table shows the change in the total OPEB liability for the year ended June 30, 2021:

	2020
Beginning total OPEB liability	\$ 50,004
Service cost	2,569
Interest	1,810
Differences between expected and actual experience	(2,300)
Changes of assumptions	2,225
Benefit of implied subsidy payments	(2,032)
Net changes	2,272
Ending total OPEB liability	\$ 52,276

#### Deferred outflows/inflows of resources

At June 30, 2021, the City reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB from the following sources:

	Deferred outflows of		 red inflows esources
Contributions subsequent to the measurement date  Differences between expected	\$	2,193	\$ -
and actual experience		227	2,046
Changes of assumptions		9,405	 1,026
Total	\$	11,825	\$ 3,072

Amounts reported as deferred outflows/(inflows) of resources related to OPEB will be recognized in OPEB expense as follows:

	 eferred es/(inflows)
Year Ending June 30,	sources
2022	\$ 838
2023	838
2024	838
2025	838
2026	1,047
Thereafter	2,161
	\$ 6,560

### 15. City Employees Retirement Plan

- (A) Plan Description. The City of Riverside contributes to the California Public Employees Retirement System (CalPERS), an agent multiple employer public employee defined benefit pension plan. CalPERS provides retirement and disability benefits, annual cost-of-living adjustments, and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries. CalPERS acts as a common investment and administrative agent for participating public entities within the State of California. Benefit provisions and all other requirements are established by state statute and City ordinance. A copy of CalPERS' annual financial report may be obtained online at www.calpersca.gov.
- (B) Funding Policy. The City has contributed at the actuarially determined rate provided by CalPERS' actuaries. Participants are required to contribute 8% for miscellaneous employees and 9% for safety employees of their annual covered salary. The City has a multiple tier retirement plan with benefits varying by plan. The City pays the employees' contributions to CalPERS for both miscellaneous and safety employees hired on or before specific dates as follows:

# Safety (Police):

• 1st Tier (RPOA, RPOA Supervisory & RPAA Management) - The retirement formula is 3% at age 50 for employees hired on or before February 16, 2012 (RPOA, RPAA Management) or June 8, 2012 (RPOA Supervisory). Effective January 1, 2018, employees were required to pay 1.5% of their pensionable income, with the City contributing the other 7.5%. Only in the event that annual wages increase in excess of 2%, will the following apply: Effective January 1, 2019, employees were required to pay an additional portion of their pensionable income. The portion is a three-year increase of 1.5% (2019), 1.5% (2020) and 1.5% (2021);

- However, the 2021 increase did not take affect; therefore, in 2021 employees were contributing 4.5% pensionable income.
- 2<sup>nd</sup> Tier (RPOA, RPOA Supervisory & RPAA Management) The retirement formula is 3% at age 50 for RPOA and RPAA Management employees hired on or after February 17, 2012 and RPOA Supervisory employees hired on or after June 8, 2012 pay their share (9%) of contributions.
- 3<sup>rd</sup> Tier (RPOA, RPOA Supervisory & RPAA) The retirement formula is 2.7% at age 57 for new members hired on or after January 1, 2013 and the employee must pay the normal cost to CalPERS which is currently at 12.75%. Classic members (CalPERS members prior to 12/31/12) hired on or after January 1, 2013 may be placed in a different tier.

### Safety (Fire):

- 1st Tier The retirement formula is 3% at age 50 for employees hired before June 11, 2011. Effective January 1, 2019, employees were required to pay a portion of their pensionable income. This portion is a three-year increase of 2.5% (2019), 2.5% (2020) and 3% (2021). However, the 2021 increase was only 2%; therefore, in 2021 employees were contributing 7% of their pensionable income.
- 2<sup>nd</sup> Tier The retirement formula is 3% at age 55 and new employees hired on or after June 11, 2011 pay their share (9%) of contributions.
- 3<sup>rd</sup> Tier The retirement formula is 2.7% at age 57 for new members hired on or after January 1, 2013. A new member, as defined by the Public Employees' Pension Reform Act (PEPRA), hired on or after January 1, 2013 pay 50% of the normal cost to CalPERS which is currently 12.75% of compensation.

#### Miscellaneous:

- 1<sup>st</sup> Tier
  - o The retirement formula is 2.7% at age 55 for employees hired on or before October 18, 2011. Effective January 1, 2018 for unrepresented employees (Sr. Management, Management, Professional, Para-professional, Supervisory, Confidential, and Executive units, excluding the Chief of Police and the Fire Chief), the employees were required to pay 2% of their pensionable income, with the City contributing the other 6%. Effective January 1, 2019, employees were required

- to pay an additional portion of their pensionable income. This portion is a three-year increase of 2% (2019), 2% (2020) and 2% (2021). As of 2021, employees are contributing the entire 8% of their pensionable income.
- The retirement formula is 2.7% at age 55 for SEIU and SEIU Refuse employees hired before June 7, 2011. Currently, employees are required to pay 7% of their pensionable income with the City contributing the other 1%. Effective January 1, 2019, employees were required to pay an additional portion of their pensionable income. This portion is a two-year increase of 1% (2019) and 1% (2020). As of 2020, employees are contributing the entire 8% of their pensionable income.
- The retirement formula is 2.7% at age 55 for IBEW and IBEW Supervisory employees hired on or before October 18, 2011. Effective November 1, 2017 employees were required to pay 2% of their total pensionable income with the City paying the remaining 6%. Effective each November 1<sup>st</sup>, employees will be required to pay an additional portion of their pensionable income. This portion is a three-year increase of 2% (2018), 2% (2019) and 2% (2020). As of November 2020, employees are contributing the entire 8% of their pensionable income.
- 2<sup>nd</sup> Tier The retirement formula is 2.7% at age 55, and:
  - Miscellaneous employees, IBEW, and IBEW Supervisory hired on or after October 19, 2011 pay their share (8%) of contributions.
  - SEIU and SEIU Refuse employees hired on or after June 7, 2011 pay their share (8%) of contributions.
- 3<sup>rd</sup> Tier The retirement formula is 2% at age 62 for new members hired on or after January 1, 2013 and the employee must pay the normal cost to CalPERS which is currently at 7.75%. Classic members (CalPERS members prior to 12/31/12) hired on or after January 1, 2013 may be placed in a different tier.

The contribution requirements of plan members and the City are established and may be amended by CalPERS.

- (C) Benefits Provided CalPERS provides service retirement and disability benefits, annual cost of living adjustments and death benefits to plan members, who must be public employees and beneficiaries. Benefits are based on years of credited service, equal to one year of full-time employment. Members with five years of total service are eligible to retire at age 50 with statutorily reduced benefits. All members are eligible for non-duty disability benefits after five years of service. The death benefit is one of the following: the Basic Death Benefit, the 1959 Survivor Benefit Level III, or the Optional Settlement 2W Death Benefit. The cost of living adjustments for each plan are applied as specified by the Public Employees' Retirement Law.
- (D) Employees Covered At June 30, 2020, the following employees were covered by the benefit terms of each Plan: Inactive employees or beneficiaries currently receiving benefits are 2,301 and 811 for the Miscellaneous and Safety Plans, respectively. Inactive employees entitled to but not yet receiving benefits are 1,427 and 174 for Miscellaneous and Safety Plans, respectively. Active employees were 1,559 and 583 for Miscellaneous and Safety Plans, respectively.
- (E) Contributions Section 20814(c) of the California Public Employees' Retirement Law requires that the employer contribution rates for all public employers be determined on an annual basis by the actuary and shall be effective on the July 1 following notice of a change in the rate. Funding contributions for both Plans are determined annually on an actuarial basis as of June 30 by CalPERS. The actuarially determined rate is the estimated amount necessary to finance the costs of benefits earned by employees during the year, with an additional amount to finance any unfunded accrued liability. The City is required to contribute the difference between the actuarially determined rate and the contribution rate of employees.
- (F) Net Pension Liability The City's net pension liability for each Plan is measured as the total pension liability, less the pension plan's fiduciary net position. The net pension liability of each of the Plans is measured as of June 30, 2020, using an annual actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2019 rolled forward to June 30, 2020 using standard update procedures. The liability for the governmental activities is primarily liquidated from the General Fund.

A summary of principal assumptions and methods used to determine the net pension liability is shown below. Actuarial Assumptions – The total pension liabilities in the June 30, 2020 actuarial valuations were determined using the following actuarial assumptions:

	<u>Miscellaneous</u>	<u>Safety</u>			
Valuation Date	June 30, 2019	June 30, 2019			
Measurement Date	June 30, 2020	June 30, 2020			
Actuarial Cost Method	Entry-Age Norr	mal Cost Method			
Actuarial Assumptions					
Discount Rate	7.15%	7.15%			
Inflation	2.5%	2.5%			
Salary Increase	Varies by Entry Age and Service				
Mortality Rate Table 1	Derived using CalPERS' m	nembership data for all funds			
Post Retirement Benefit	The lesser of contract COLA or 2.50% until Purchasing Power				
Increase	Protection Allowance floor on purchasing power applies, 2.50%				
	ther	eafter			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The mortality table used was developed based on CalPERS-specific data. The probabilities of mortality are based on the 2017 CalPERS Experience Study for the period from 1997 to 2015. Pre-Pre-retirement and Post-retirement mortality rates include 15 years of projected mortality improvement using 90% of Scale MP-2016 published by the Society of Actuaries. For more details details on this table, please refer to the CalPERS Experience Study and Review of Actuarial Assumptions report from December 2017 that can be found on the CalPERS website.

Long-term Expected Rate of Return - The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class.

In determining the long-term expected rate of return, CalPERS took into account both short-term and long-term market return expectations as well as the expected pension fund cash flows. Using historical returns of all of the funds' asset classes, expected compound (geometric) returns were calculated over the short-term (first 10 years) and the long-term (11+ years) using a building-block approach. Using the expected nominal returns for both short-term and long-term, the present value of benefits was calculated for each fund. The expected rate of return was set by calculating the rounded single equivalent expected return that arrived at the same present value of benefits for cash flows as the one calculated using both short-term and long-term returns. The expected rate of return was then set equal to the single equivalent rate calculated above and adjusted to account for assumed administrative expenses. The expected real rates of return by asset class are as followed:

	Current Target	Real Return	Real Return
Asset Class 1	Allocation	Years 1 - 10 <sup>2</sup>	Years 11+ <sup>3</sup>
Global Equity	50.0%	4.80%	5.98%
Fixed income	28.0%	1.00%	2.62%
Inflation Assets	-	0.77%	1.81%
Private Equity	8.0%	6.30%	7.23%
Real Assets	13.0%	3.75%	4.93%
Liquidity	1.0%	-	-0.92%

- In the System's CAFR, Fixed Income is included in Global Debt Securities; Liquidity is included in Short-term Investments; Inflation Assets are included in both Global Equity Securities and Global Debt Securities.
- 2. An expected inflation of 2.00% used for this period
- 3 An expected inflation of 2.92% used for this period

Discount Rate - The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 7.15%. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that contributions from plan members will be made at the current member contribution rates and that contributions from employers will be made at statutorily required rates, actuarially determined. Based on those assumptions, the Plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

Changes in Assumptions – In 2020, there was no changes in assumptions.

(G) Changes in the Net Pension Liability

The changes in the Net Pension Liability for each Plan follows:

Miscellaneous	Increase (Decrease)					
	Total	Plan				
	Pension	Fiduciary	Net Pension			
	Liability	Net Position	Liability/(Asset)			
Balance at June 30, 2019	\$ 1,430,160	\$ 1,137,966	\$ 292,194			
Changes in the year:						
Service Cost	25,919	-	25,919			
Interest on Total Pension Liability	101,080	-	101,080			
Differences between Expected						
and Actual Experience	6,220	-	6,220			
Contribution - employer	-	239,156	(239,156)			
Contribution - employee	-	10,957	(10,957)			
Net Investment Income	-	56,837	(56,837)			
Benefit Payments, including						
Refunds of Employee						
Contributions	(71,266)	(71,266)	-			
Administrative Expenses	-	(1,604)	1,604			
Other Misc. Income/(Expense)						
Net Changes	61,953	234,080	(172,127)			
Balance at June 30, 2020	\$ 1,492,113	\$ 1,372,046	\$ 120,067			
Dalarioc at vario 00, 2020	Ψ 1,-02,110	Ψ 1,072,040	Ψ 120,001			

Safety	li	Increase (Decrease)					
	Total	Plan					
	Pension	Fiduciary	Net Pension				
	Liability	Liability Net Position					
Balance at June 30, 2019	\$ 1,137,203	\$ 829,821	\$ 307,382				
Changes in the year:							
Service Cost	22,391	-	22,391				
Interest on Total Pension Liability	80,940	-	80,940				
Differences between Expected							
and Actual Experience	11,896	-	11,896				
Contribution - employer	-	263,061	(263,061)				
Contribution - employee	-	9,454	(9,454)				
Net Investment Income	-	41,765	(41,765)				
Benefit Payments, including							
Refunds of Employee							
Contributions	(56,537)	(56,537)	-				
Administrative Expenses	-	(1,170)	1,170				
Other Misc. Income/(Expense)							
Net Changes	58,690	256,573	(197,883)				
Balance at June 30, 2020	\$ 1,195,893	\$ 1,086,394	\$ 109,499				

Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate - The following presents the net pension liability of the City for each Plan, calculated using the discount rate for each Plan, as well as what the City's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one percentage point lower or one percentage point higher than the current rate:

Miscellaneous		Current	
	Discount Rate -1% (6.15%)	Discount Rate (7.15%)	Discount Rate +1% (8.15%)
Plan's Net Pension Liability/(Asset)	\$ 320,850	\$ 120,067	\$ (45,188)
Safety		Current	
	Discount Rate	Discount Rate	Discount Rate
	<u>-1% (6.15%)</u>	<u>(7.15%)</u>	+1% (8.15%)
Plan's Net Pension Liability/(Asset)	\$ 271,457	\$ 109,499	\$ (23,605)

Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position – Detailed information about each pension plan's fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued CalPERS financial reports.

H. Pension Expenses and Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions.

For the year ended June 30, 2021, the City recognized pension expense of \$90,164. At June 30, 2021, the City reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

Miscellaneous		red Outflows Resources		erred Inflows Resources
Pension contributions subsequent to measurement date, net Changes of assumptions Differences between expected and actual experience Net differences between projected and actual	\$	26,274 - 7,064	\$	(3,445) (1,800)
earnings on plan investments		15,081		-
Total	\$	48,419	\$	(5,245)
	Deferred Outflows of Resources			
Safety				ed Inflows esources
Safety  Pension contributions subsequent to measurement				
•				
Pension contributions subsequent to measurement	of Re	esources	of Re	
Pension contributions subsequent to measurement date, net Changes of assumptions Differences between expected and actual experience	of Re	esources 22,925	of Re	esources
Pension contributions subsequent to measurement date, net Changes of assumptions	of Re	22,925 5,433	of Re	- (1,327)

\$49,199 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the subsequent fiscal period rather than in the current fiscal period.

Amortization of Deferred Outflows and Deferred Inflows of Resources - Under GASB 68, gains and losses related to changes in total pension liability and fiduciary net position are recognized in pension expense systematically over time.

The first amortized amounts are recognized in pension expense for the year the gain or loss occurs. The remaining amounts are categorized as deferred outflows and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions and are to be recognized in future pension expense.

The amortization period differs depending on the source of the gain or loss:

Net Difference between projected and actual earnings	5 year straight-line amortization
All other amounts	Straight-line amortization over the expected average remaining service lifetime (EARSL) of all members that are provided with benefits (active, inactive, and retired) as of the beginning of the measurement period

The remaining amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred (inflows) of resources related to pensions will be recognized as pension expense as follows:

Misc	Miscellaneous Safet		Safety
\$	\$ (4,235)		8,341
7,099			9,197
	7,892		9,281
	6,144		5,610
\$	\$ 16,900		32,429
	\$	7,099 7,892 6,144	\$ (4,235) \$ 7,099 7,892 6,144

Subsequent Events - There were no subsequent events that would materially affect the results presented in this disclosure.

### 16. Other Long-Term Obligations

<u>Changes in Long-Term Obligations</u>: Below is a summary of changes in long-term obligations during the fiscal year for the former Redevelopment Agency, which is accounted for in the Successor Agency Trust (a fiduciary fund):

2.069

	eginning Balance	Add	litions	Red	ductions	Ending Balance	 e Within ie Year
Lease revenue bonds	\$ 13,790	\$	-	\$	(2,149)	\$ 11,641	\$ 2,242
Tax allocation bonds	177,256		-		(5,840)	171,416	4,923
Direct borrowings:							
Notes payable	3,918		-		-	3,918	28
	\$ 194,964	\$	-	\$	(7,989)	\$ 186,975	\$ 7,193

Lease Revenue Bonds – Successor Agency:

\$26,255 State of California Department of General Services Project, 2003 Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series A are secured by lease payments made by the State of California Department of General Services for the California Tower office building; 2% to 5% due in annual installments from \$545 to \$2,230 through Oct. 1, 2024. The bonds are subject to acceleration under the Trust Agreement upon the occurrence of an event of default and with the consent of the Insurer. There is no remedy of acceleration of the total Base Rental over the term of the Lease.

\$4,810 State of California Dept. of General Services Project, 2003 Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series B are secured by lease payments made by the State of California Department of General Services for the California Tower office building; \$310 serial bonds 1.20% to 1.42% through Oct.1, 2004; \$620 term bonds at 3.090% due Oct. 1, 2008; \$1,110 term bonds at 4.340% due Oct. 1, 2014 and \$2,770 term bonds at 5.480% due Oct. 1, 2024. The bonds are subject to acceleration under the Trust Agreement upon the occurrence of an event of default and with the consent of the Insurer. There is no remedy of acceleration of the total Base Rental over the term of the Lease.

In 2019, the 2012A Lease Revenue Refunding bonds were distributed between Governmental Activities, Business-Type Activities, and the Successor Agency to properly reflect their proportional share.

Principal Outstanding

\$8.085

1,290

Agency.

\$41,240. The bonds are secured by lease payments on a portion of the City Hall Complex and the Lincoln Police Patrol Center. The bonds were issued to refinance the 2003 Certificates of Participation. Interest on the bonds is payable semi-annually on May 1 and November 1 of each year, commencing May 1, 2013. The rate of interest varies from 2% to 5% per annum depending on maturity date. Principal is payable in annual installments ranging from \$1,295 to \$2,840 commencing November 1, 2013 and ending November 1, 2033. In the event of default, the Trustee may retain the Lease Agreement and hold the City liable for all Base Rental Payments on an annual basis. The rental payments may

not be accelerated. \$2.415 relates to the Successor

On August 15, 2012, the City issued the Series 2012A Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds in the amount of

Subtotal 11,444
Add: Unamortized bond premium 197
Total Lease Revenue Bonds \$11,641

Remaining debt service will be paid by the Successor Agency Trust from future property tax revenues. Annual debt service requirements to maturity are as follows:

Fiscal Year	Principal		Principal Interest		Total
2022	\$	2,242	\$	510	\$ 2,752
2023		2,399		392	2,791
2024		2,556		267	2,823
2025		2,716		135	2,851
2026		141		64	205
2027-2031		812		213	1,025
2032-2036		578		35	613
Premium		197		-	197
Total	\$	11,641	\$	1,616	\$ 13,257

Tax Allocation Bonds – Successor Agency:

On October 16, 2014, the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Riverside issued 2014 Subordinate Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds (Series A and B) in the amount of \$62,980. The bonds were issued to refund certain obligations of the former

of \$20,000.

Redevelopment Agend	cy of the	e City of	of Riverside	e. Interest
is due semi-annually	on Ma	rch 1	and Sept	tember 1,
commencing March 1	, 2015.	Princi	ipal is due	in annual
installments from	\$160	to	\$4,745	through
September 1, 2034.	The ra	ite of	interest va	aries from
0.6% to 5% per annun	n.			

0.6% to 5% per annum.

\$114,815 2018 Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds Series A and B. The bonds were issued to refund the 2007 Riverside Public Financing Authority Redevelopment Agency Tax Allocation Bonds; Series A, B, C, and D. Principal is payable in annual installments from \$140 to \$9,180 through September 1, 2037. The rate of interest varies from 3.125% to 5% per annum. The refunding

transaction resulted in a total net present value savings

<u>,</u>
152,715
18,701
\$171,416

The Successor Agency Tax Allocation Bonds are secured by tax revenues deposited in the Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund for the Agency established and held by the County of Riverside Auditor-Controller pursuant to Section 34813(a)(2) of the Dissolution Act. Upon event of default, the principal due on the Bonds is subject to acceleration.

Remaining debt service will be paid by the Successor Agency Trust from future property tax revenues. Annual debt service requirements to maturity are as follows:

Fiscal Year	F	Principal		Interest		Total
2022	\$	4,923	\$	7,061	\$	11,984
2023		4,710		6,823		11,533
2024		10,280		6,450		16,730
2025		10,690		5,928		16,618
2026		10,795		5,392		16,187
2027-2031		51,660		19,011		70,671
2032-2036		44,365		7,737		52,102
2037-2041		15,292		579		15,871
Premium		18,701		-		18,701
Total	\$	171,416	\$	58,981	\$	230,397

Notes Payable – Successor Agency	:
----------------------------------	---

Principal Outstanding

\$2,987

931

These notes payable have been issued to promote development and expansion within the City's redevelopment areas.

Pepsi Cola Bottling Company of Los Angeles, 10.5%, payable in net annual installments of \$341, subject to recording of completion. Interest accrues on the outstanding note balance upon issuance of the Certificate of Completion. Principal and interest on the note are payable solely from Project Property Tax Increment. Payments start upon the time sufficient increment is generated in one year to pay the annual principal and interest on the note. Upon 25 years from the first anniversary date of the certificate of completion, all unpaid principal together with any accrued interest will be forgiven.

Smith's Food & Drug Centers Inc., 6% payable in variable installments, subject to payment of annual Community Facilities District assessment. The note is secured under a developer agreement.

es Payable \$3,918

Total Notes Payable

Remaining debt service will be paid by the Successor Agency Trust from future property tax revenues. Annual debt service requirements to maturity are as follows:

Fiscal Year	Р	Principal		Interest		Total		
2022	\$	28	\$	314	\$	342		
2023		31		311		342		
2024		34		307		341		
2025		38		304		342		
2026		42		300		342		
2027-2031		1,217		1,423		2,640		
2032-2036		471		1,238		1,709		
2037-2041		777		932		1,709		
2042-2046		1,280		430		1,710		
Total	\$	3,918	\$	5,559	\$	9,477		

\$37,900

114 815

(amounts expressed in thousands)

Assessment Districts and Community Facilities Districts Bonds (Not obligations of the City):

As of June 30, 2021, the City has several series of Assessment District and Community Facility District Bonds outstanding in the amount of \$38,965. Bonds were issued for improvements in certain districts and are long-term obligations of the property owners. The City Treasurer acts as an agent for the property owners in collecting the assessments, forwarding the collections to bondholders and initiating foreclosure proceedings, if applicable. Since the debt does not constitute an obligation of the City, it is not reflected as a long-term obligation of the City and is not reflected in the accompanying basic financial statements.

	В	eginning					ı	Ending	Due	e Within
	В	alance *	Addi	itions	Re	ductions	В	alance	On	e Year
Community facilities districts	\$	21,740	\$	-	\$	(595)	\$	21,145	\$	670
Assessment districts		19,250		-		(1,430)		17,820		1,505
	\$	40,990	\$	-	\$	(2,025)	\$	38,965	\$	2,175

<sup>\*</sup> As restated

### 17. Interfund Assets, Liabilities and Transfers

<u>Due From/To Other Funds</u>: These balances resulted from expenditures being incurred prior to receipt of the related revenue source.

The following table shows amounts receivable/payable between funds within the City at June 30, 2021:

Receivable Funds	Payable Funds	<u>Amount</u>
General Fund	Nonmajor Governmental Funds	\$ 1,430
	Nonmajor Enterprise Funds	3,002
		\$ 4,432

<u>Advances To/From Other Funds</u>: These balances consist of advances used to fund capital projects in advance of related financing/assessments and for other long-term borrowing purposes.

The following table shows amounts advanced from funds within the City to other funds within the City at June 30, 2021:

Receivable Funds	Payable Funds	<u>Amount</u>
Sewer Fund	General Debt Service Fund	\$ 1,765

In addition, the following advances to the former Redevelopment Agency are accounted for in the Private-Purpose Trust Fund of the Successor Agency:

Receivable Fund	<u>Amount</u>
Electric Fund	\$ 2,925

<u>Transfers In/Out</u>: Transfers are primarily used to (1) move revenues to the fund that statute or budget requires to expend them, and (2) move receipts restricted to debt service from the funds collecting the receipts to the debt service fund as debt service payments become due.

The following table shows amounts transferred to/from funds within the City for the year ended June 30, 2021:

General Fund	Capital Outlay Fund General Debt Service Fund Nonmajor Governmental Funds Electric Fund Water Fund	\$ 511 42 5,839 39,899 6,972 53,263
Capital Outlay Fund	General Fund	 14,441
Debt Service Fund	General Fund Capital Outlay Fund Nonmajor Governmental Funds	37,159 2,997 1,958 42,114
Nonmajor Governmental Funds	General Fund Nonmajor Governmental Funds Nonmajor Enterprise Funds	2,648 361 80 3,089
Nonmajor Enterprise Funds	General Fund Governmental Activities *	12,072 601 12,673
Internal Service Fund	General Fund	24,000
	Total	\$ 149,580

<sup>\*</sup> Transfer of capital asset from Governmental Activities to Civic Entertainment Fund

### 18. Deficit Net Position

Deficit net position exists in the non-major enterprise fund, Transportation (\$157). The deficit is primarily due to the net pension and net OPEB liabilities.

Deficit net position exists in the Self-Insurance Internal Service Fund (\$32,395). This City adopted a Self-Insurance Reserve Policy that addresses the on-going deficit in fund balance. In the past, the City began funding a portion of the deficit in the internal service fund via self-insurance rate increases phased in over several years. However, the increases continue to be offset by unusually large losses incurred during the year combined with an adjustment for the increase in the amount estimated for claims and judgments. Management believes that there are sufficient funds on hand to cover current payment obligations and plans to continue to control costs and increase rates, as needed.

Deficit net position exists in the Successor Agency Private-Purpose Trust Fund (\$141,778). The deficit in the Successor Agency Trust Fund will be reduced over the years as the related debt is paid-off with funds received from the Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF), which is administered by the County Auditor-Controller.

Deficit net position exists in the Custodial Fund (\$29,921). The deficit in the Custodial Fund will be reduced over the years as the related debt is paid-off from funds received from special tax and assessments paid by the residents within the special districts.

#### 19. Construction Commitments

As of June 30, 2021, the Electric Utility had commitments (encumbrances) of approximately \$22,799 with respect to unfinished capital projects, of which \$13,694 is expected to be funded by unrestricted cash reserves, \$8,103 to be funded by bonds, and \$1,002 to be funded by restricted cash reserves.

### 20. Forward Purchase/Sale Agreements

In order to meet summer peaking requirements, the Electric Utility may contract on a monthly or quarterly basis, for the purchase or sale of natural gas, electricity and/or capacity products on a short-term horizon. As of June 30, 2021, the Electric Utility has net commitments for fiscal year 2022 and thereafter, of approximately \$11,707, with a market value of \$16,749.

### 21. Economic Contingency

A portion of fund balance has been committed within the General Fund and Measure Z fund for future economic contingencies. The amount that has been set aside for the General Fund is \$57,400, which equals to approximately 20% of the 2021-2022 General Fund adopted expenditure budget. For the General Fund Measure Z Fund, \$5,000 has been set aside. In addition, on January 19, 2021, City Council committed \$15,600 for potential future issues.

### 22. Litigation

The City is a defendant in various lawsuits arising in the normal course of business. Present lawsuits and other claims against the City are incidental to the ordinary course of operations and are largely covered by the City's self-insurance program. In the opinion of management and the City Attorney, such claims and litigation will not have a materially adverse effect upon the financial position or results of operation of the City.

### 23. Tax Abatements

In November 2012, the City entered into a tax sharing agreement with a local business to incentivize an expansion of their facility. Assistance is provided in the form of a rebate of sales and property taxes over fifteen years in an amount not to exceed \$4,500. The agreement expires on the earlier of: 1) total cumulative tax rebate of \$4,500; or 2) fifteen years in fiscal 2027-28.

Incremental Sales Tax Revenue can be generated from sales, over the fiscal 2011-12 base period, reported to the State Board of Equalization at the business site and from third party vendor transactions occurring using the business site as the point of sale. Incremental Property Tax Revenue is generated from the increase in County assessed valuation over the 2012-13 base period values, for the parcels designated in the agreement. For parcels acquired after 2012-13 in the project area, the acquisition price will become its base year valuation. The initial 2012-13 base year assessed valuation is \$114,293 and has been adjusted to \$125,043 for the parcels acquired in 2014-15. The business is due 100% of the incremental Property tax revenue due to the City from the project area tax rate. It is calculated as 11% of the value determined from taking 1% of the difference of current net assessed valuation over the adjusted base valuation. The cumulative rebate payment as of 6/30/2021 is \$1.048.

### 24. Subsequent Events

Parada II Litigation

On September 12, 2018, a petition for writ of mandate entitled Parada v. City of Riverside ("Parada II") was filed against the City seeking to invalidate, rescind and void under Proposition 26, the Electric System's rates approved by City Council on May 22, 2018, which took effect on January 1, 2019, challenging the portion of the electric rates that are attributable to the General Fund. The petition did not seek any monetary relief from the General Fund. The trial court divided the case into two stages for hearings: a liability phase and a damages phase. On April 17, 2020, the Court in the liability phase of Parada II litigation entered a tentative ruling finding the City's electric rates attributable to the General Fund transfer violate Article XIII C of the California Constitution. The formal hearing on the matter took place on June 5, 2020, but the Court asked for further briefing on the issue of whether or not the plaintiffs failed to exhaust their administrative remedies. On October 9, 2020, the Court confirmed its tentative ruling and entered an order denying the City's request for interlocutory remand. The court has set a hearing for February 24, 2021, to set a briefing schedule for determining appropriate remedies /damages in the case. The City expects the second phase of the trial relating to plaintiffs' available remedies to occur in the second guarter of 2021. However, due to the impact of the Coronavirus on the Courts, the exact timing of the completion of the trial is uncertain at this time.

The ruling by the Court in Parada II will likely have a material adverse impact on the City's General Fund. The General Fund receives approximately \$40 million annually (up to the maximum amount of 11.5% of Electric Fund revenues) from the Electric Fund. Based on the Court's order in the liability phase of the trial, approximately \$19-32 million of the General Fund transfer is potentially attributable to rate payer revenue that was not approved by the voters. However, that amount will be determined during the damages phase of the trial. Additionally, the City may be required to refund rate payers for the portions of the rates that were determined to violate Article XIII C of the California Constitution from the date the writ of mandate was filed. However, the trial court has not issued any ruling as to what the amount of any damages would be. Based on the Court's order in the liability phase of the trial, the City estimates that the amount of a refund would be \$19 to \$32 million per year, beginning January 1, 2019, until date of settlement or issuance of a final, non-appealable judgment by the trial court after anticipated appeals are resolved. This amount could vary depending upon whether or not the City decides to repeal and replace the challenged rates pending appeal. Currently, petitioners have sought monetary relief solely from the Electric System.

However, the City can make no assurance that the City's General Fund may not be held liable for all or a portion of any refund or other remedy the Court ultimately orders. The City believes that all or a portion of any refund, if owed, could be paid from rate payer revenues in the Electric Fund and that any judgment would likely be allowed by the Court to be paid over a multi-year period. If the electric rates attributable to the General Fund transfer are determined to be invalid or are otherwise repealed and replaced by the City, the City could seek voter approval for the General Fund transfer like it did for the Water Fund. The City has a variety of revenue sources and expense reductions available to it to address any future budget deficits caused by the potential loss of the General Fund transfer, including but not limited to the use of Measure Z revenues.

On May 17, 2021, the City and the Paradas entered into a conditional settlement agreement. This settlement is conditioned on: (1) the Riverside City Council's placement of a ballot measure on City ballots in November 2021 to approve the City's General Fund Transfer practices as a general tax ("Ballot Measure"); and (2) voter approval of the Ballot Measure. The Riverside City Council placed the Ballot Measure on the ballot for the November 2, 2021 election. The Parties have stayed the lawsuit until certification of the results of the Ballot Measure. If voters approve the Ballot Measure, the City will refund to customers of its electric utility an amount equal to \$24 million less the amount awarded to Plaintiffs' counsel in fees, paid over a five year period beginning no later than February 1, 2022. If voters do not approve the Ballot Measure, this litigation will then resume.

On or about September 16, 2021, a petition for writ of mandate entitled Petitioner Riversiders Against Increased Taxes v. City of Riverside, et al. was filed against the City challenging the Ballot Measure on the grounds that the Ballot Measure cannot be adopted at the November 2021 election because that election is a "special" election and under Proposition 218, a ballot measure to impose a general tax can only be submitted to voters at a general election. On November 9, 2021, the court has set a trial date for this lawsuit for January 7, 2022 and has ordered a stay of the certification of the Ballot Measure Election results pending the January 7<sup>th</sup> hearing but did not otherwise delay or cancel the election for the Ballot Measure.

The election was held on November 2, 2021, and the initial report from the County Registrar of Voters, as of November 3, 2021, is that Measure C was approved by voters. However, the election results have not yet been certified by the City as noted above, and the Parada lawsuit continues to be stayed pending certification of the results.

# 25. Prior Period Adjustments

A prior period adjustment of \$80,284 was made to Governmental Activities for land and infrastructure additions due to a change in calculation of street mileage from a system upgrade which maintains street mileage.

The restatement of beginning net position of Governmental Activities is summarized as follows:

### **Governmental Activities**

Net position at July 1, 2020, as previously stated	\$ 866,575
Land additions	37,951
Infrastructure additions	42,333
Net position at July 1, 2020, as restated	\$ 946,859

A prior period adjustment of \$30,750 was made to the Custodial Fund for implementation of GASB 84 which establishes criteria for identifying fiduciary activities of all state and local governments.

The restatement of beginning net position of the Custodial Fund is summarized as follows:

### **Custodial Fund**

Net position at July 1, 2020, as previously stated	\$ 10,240
Community facilities districts debt	(21,740)
Assessment districts debt	(19,250)
Net position at July 1, 2020, as restated	\$ (30,750)